

THE CORPORATION JOURNAL

INDEX TO VOLUME XII

(Numbers 1 to 18; pages 1 to 432)

(Complete Numbers 230 to 247)

Insert in binder to face page 432.

NOTE: This index covers all issues of The Corporation Journal since that of June 1935, i. e., the eighteen issues constituting Volume XII (October, 1935 to and including June, 1937). References are grouped primarily by states but each case digest is separately indexed, once at least, by general subject content.

The references are to pages.

PART I

	Page
ACTIONS: See also SERVICE OF PROCESS.	
DELAWARE—Conditions required to enable a stockholder to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ainscow v. Sanitary Company of America</i> , 180 A. 614	54
FLORIDA—Defense of usury by corporation organized prior to General Corporation Act, <i>Matlack Properties, Inc. v. Citizens & Southern Nat. Bank</i> , 162 So. 148	30
Unlicensed foreign corporation may recover property; interstate commerce, <i>Mergenthaler Linotype Co. v. Gore</i> , 160 So. 481	39
Statute barring unqualified foreign corporations from maintaining action construed to permit recovery where corporation qualified before final decree, <i>Burton v. Oliver Farm Equipment Sales Company</i> , 160 So. 468	82
ILLINOIS—Corporation dissolved for failure to file reports and pay taxes held to be without right to sue for recovery of its funds held in escrow, <i>Billiard Table Mfg. Corp. v. First-Tyler Bank & Trust Co.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 990	367
INDIANA—Fact that plaintiff, an unlicensed foreign corporation, had reached a condition, in liquidation, where it could not qualify, held an effective defense, <i>Barnett et al. v. Central Republic Bank & Trust Co. et al.</i> , 196 N. E. 369	10
Validity of judgment obtained in Indiana through service of process on Secretary of State where corporation received no notice of suit, as viewed by the Michigan Supreme Court, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Co.</i> , 271 N. W. 712; writ of certiorari denied by U. S. Supreme Court, 58 S. Ct. 15	398
KENTUCKY—Unqualified corporation may maintain an action in the state courts arising out of contract or tort, <i>Sayers & Muir Service Station v. Indiana Refining Co.</i> , 100 S. W. (2d) 687	323
LOUISIANA—Maintenance of suit against a foreign corporation in Louisiana barred where cause of action did not arise in state, <i>Staley-Wynne Oil Corp. v. Loring Oil Co.</i> , 162 So. 756	57
MICHIGAN—Corporation failing to file annual report cannot maintain suit, <i>Meldman Cartage Co. v. Freuhauf Trailer Co.</i> , 259 N. W. 905	7
Venue of suits against domestic corporation, <i>Orloff v. Morehead Mfg. Co.</i> , 262 N. W. 736	103

	Page
A corporation, whose charter has been forfeited, may sue on claims arising prior to forfeiture, when winding up its affairs, <i>Division Avenue Realty Co. v. McGough et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 328.	250
NEW JERSEY—Stockholder's delay of four months in objecting to plan for acquisition of another corporation's stock held to warrant dismissal of suit, <i>Fraser v. Great Western Sugar Co. et al.</i> , 185 A. 64.	224
Where a plaintiff foreign corporation was not authorized to do business in the state at the time of commencing its suit, but subsequently became authorized, the suit may be maintained, <i>Peter Doelger Brewing Corporation v. Spindel et al.</i> , 186 A. 429.	255
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in a state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446.	350
NEW MEXICO—Statutory agent of foreign corporation having left state, service on Secretary of State held good; corporation not notified, default judgment taken, <i>Silva v. Crombie & Co.</i> , 44 P. (2d) 719.	15
NEW YORK—Unlicensed foreign corporation may maintain action for conversion of personal property, <i>Meisel Tire Co. v. Mar-Bel Trading Co.</i> , 280 N. Y. S. 335.	40
A Massachusetts trust is not a "foreign corporation" and need not qualify as such to maintain an action, <i>Burgoynes et al. v. James</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 18.	107
In a stockholder's derivative action, the stockholder may issue execution and collect judgment recovered on behalf of corporation, <i>Earl v. Brewer et al.</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 922; judgment modified, 289 N. Y. S. 150.	127, 224
Suit directly involving internal affairs and management of a foreign corporation should be instituted in state of domicile, <i>Garfield et al. v. The Great Northern Railway Co. et al.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., Eastern Dist. of N. Y., Dec. 20, 1935. CCH CDR No. 149709.	154
Shareholders' bill in equity dismissed for lack of jurisdiction over the corporation, an indispensable party, <i>Philipbar et al. v. Derby et al.</i> , 85 F. (2d) 27.	278
A corporation, prosecuting a suit in its own behalf is not required to be represented in court by a licensed attorney, <i>Sellent-Repent Corporation v. Queens Borough Gas & Electric Co., et al.</i> , 290 N. Y. S. 887.	321
Where a receiver refuses to institute action to redress injury to corporation, stockholder is without right to initiate derivative action but may apply to have receiver removed and another appointed, <i>Koral v. Savory, Inc., et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 123; reversed, N. Y. Ct. of Appeals, November 23, 1937, CCH CDR No. 186187.	343
Statutes of limitation applied, <i>Potter v. Walker et al.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 161.	394
An incorporator of a religious association, formed under the Stock Corporation Law, who made no subscription payments and never received a certificate of stock, may not maintain a representative action as a stockholder, <i>Kittinger v. Churchill et al.</i> , 292 N. Y. S. 35 and 292 N. Y. S. 51.	394
Unlicensed foreign corporation doing business in state denied right to establish claim in court proceeding, <i>Estate of Edwin King Scheftel</i> , 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed, 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809.	58, 417
NORTH CAROLINA—Corporation may be liable for slander uttered by officers or agents, when circumstances warrant inference of authority for the tort, <i>Britt v. Howell et al.</i> , 181 S. E. 619.	163

	Page
OREGON—Action, pending against corporation at close of five year statutory "settling of business" period following dissolution, held to abate, <i>G. M. Standifer Construction Corp. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , 78 F. (2d) 285.....	35
Delinquency in payment of real property taxes by a corporation is no bar to its maintenance of suit, <i>East Side Mill & Lumber Co. et al. v. Dwyer Logging Co.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 89.....	376
PENNSYLVANIA—Courts will not interfere with internal affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Kelly v. Brackenridge Brewing Co., Inc. et al.</i> , 178 A. 487.....	16
Writ of mandamus to compel the qualification of a foreign non-profit corporation denied, <i>Horowitz v. Beamish, Secretary of the Commonwealth</i> , 40 Dauphin County Reports 336; affirmed, 185 A. 760.....	58, 231
RHODE ISLAND—The Supreme Court of Rhode Island draws the line between statutory and equitable relief, <i>Petrovics v. The Kings Holdings, Inc.</i> , 188 A. 514.....	369
TEXAS—Foreign corporation maintaining office in state must show issuance of permit to maintain suit, <i>Feder et al. v. Texas Bithulithic Co. et al.</i> , 82 S. W. (2d) 724.....	62
WISCONSIN—A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in the state is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545..	326
AMENDMENTS:	
CALIFORNIA—Right of corporation to effect changes in the capital structure varying plaintiff's stock ownership and voting rights upheld, <i>Heller Investment Company v. Southern Title & Trust Co. et al.</i> , 61 P. (2d) 807.....	318
DELAWARE—Right to accrued dividends held a vested right which may not be destroyed by amendment as to dividends accrued up to time of adoption of amendment, <i>Keller et al. v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 180 A. 584; reversed 190 A. 115; reargument denied, Feb. 19, 1937.....	6, 270, 337
Common stock without par value, issued under amendment in exchange for Class A stock, stands on the same footing as other common stock previously issued, although underlying value of Class A stock may have been greater, <i>Saperstein v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 182 A. 18.....	7, 102
KENTUCKY—Vote required for amendment increasing or decreasing capital stock, <i>Haggard v. Lexington Utilities Co., et al.</i> , 84 S. W. (2d) 84.....	54
MICHIGAN—Postponement of stock redemption date by amendment held not binding upon stockholder not consenting to amendment, <i>Sutton v. Globe Knitting Works</i> , 267 N. W. 815.....	223
MINNESOTA—Effect, under Blue Sky Law, of amendment reducing par value of shares, <i>Merts et al. v. H. D. Hudson Mfg. Co.</i> , 261 N. W. 472.....	8
NEW YORK—Attempt, by charter amendment, to reclassify non-callable preferred stock so as to make it callable at a stated amount at the option of the corporation, held to violate stockholder's vested interest in corporation; Section 36(g) of Stock Corporation Law construed, <i>Breslav v. N. Y. & Queens Electric Light & Power Co. et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 932; affirmed 7 N. E. (2d) 708.....	294, 424

	Page
UTAH—Statutes permitting corporations generally to amend their charters extending their corporate existence do not contravene constitutional prohibition on legislature to extend the franchise or charter of a corporation, <i>Keetch et al. v. Cordner et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 273	345
ATTACHMENT: See SERVICE OF PROCESS and DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY.	
BY-LAWS:	
NEW JERSEY—By-law providing for cumulative voting ineffectual where charter does not authorize such voting, <i>In re Brophy et al.</i> , 179 A. 128	9
Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helpman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320
WISCONSIN—A director or fiduciary officer is presumed to serve without compensation in the absence of a valid agreement therefor; by-law conflicting with statute held void, <i>Security Savings & Trust Co. v. Coos Bay Lumber and Coal Co. et al.</i> , 263 N. W. 187	128
CHARTER:	
ILLINOIS—Forfeiture of charter for failure to comply with Motor Fuel Tax Act, <i>People ex rel. Kerner v. Blue Rose Oil Co.</i> , 196 N. E. 456; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 121.	31
MICHIGAN—A corporation whose charter has been forfeited, may sue on claims arising prior to forfeiture, when winding up its affairs, <i>Division Avenue Realty Co. v. McGough et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 328	250
PENNSYLVANIA—Abandonment of corporate franchise of non-profit corporation created by special act not to be presumed where members maintained affiliation during period of inactivity, <i>Com. ex rel. Schnader, Atty. Gen. v. Neptune Club</i> , 184 A. 542	251
UTAH—Statutes permitting corporations generally to amend their charters extending their corporate existence do not contravene constitutional prohibition on legislature to extend the franchise or charter of a corporation, <i>Keetch et al. v. Cordner et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 273	345
VIRGINIA—A corporation, other than a railroad company, may not be chartered to carry on several forms of public utility services, <i>South East Public Service Corp. of Virginia v. Commonwealth ex rel. State Corporation Commission</i> , 181 S. E. 448	105
CONSOLIDATION:	
DELAWARE—New law cited (L. 1935, H. B. No. 234)	1
INDIANA—Action involving fixing value of stock of dissenting stockholders under a consolidation, <i>Republic Finance & Investment Co. et al. v. Fenstermaker et al.</i> , 6 N. E. (2d) 541	391
MARYLAND—New law cited. (L. 1935, Ch. 551)	1
CONTRACTS:	
DELAWARE—President of corporation ordinarily has no implied authority to bind it by a contract of guaranty in which it has no interest, <i>Atlantic Refining Co. v. Ingalls & Co., Inc.</i> , 185 A. 885	247
KANSAS—Company incorporated to continue partnership business, held by course of conduct to have adopted partnership contract provisions for salary paid partners, <i>Stowell v. Garden City News Corp.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 12	249

	Page
KENTUCKY—Acceptance by corporation of benefits under one of two related pre-incorporation promoter's contracts, held to charge it with liabilities under the other, <i>Lowther v. Blair Distilling Co.</i> , 99 S. W. (2d) 204	367
NEW JERSEY—Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helfman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446	350
UTAH—Promoter's contract held not binding upon corporation subsequently organized, <i>Murray v. Monter et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 960	275
WISCONSIN—Plea entered by defendant of the non-qualification of plaintiff, a foreign trust company merely acting as one of two trustees under contracts entered into outside Wisconsin, held not a valid defense, <i>Union Trust Co. of Md. et al. v. Rodeman et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 508	203
A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in the state is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545	326
Unlicensed foreign corporation denied right to sue on contract involving Wisconsin property, <i>Florida Realty Finance & Security Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Sons Co.</i> , 272 N. W. 38	422
DIRECTORS:	
ALBERTA—Right of director to sue his fellow-directors on their statutory liability for unpaid wages of employe, <i>Mulligan v. Lancaster et al.</i> , (1937) 1 D. L. R. 414	366
CALIFORNIA—Use of position for personal advantage—review by court, <i>Schwab et al. v. Schwab-Wilson Machinery Corp. Ltd., et al.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 1268	198
Validity of acts of majority of original five, where board increased to nine but no additional directors were elected, <i>Robertson et al. v. Hartman et al.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1310	246
CANADA—Liability for corporation's unpaid sales tax where corporation loaned money to them, <i>The King v. Kussner</i> , (1936), 4 D. L. R. 752	342
DELAWARE—Michigan court holds director of Delaware corporation not liable in connection with a declaration of dividends under Delaware law, <i>Stratton et al. Trustees in Bankruptcy of Clarence Saunders Stores, Inc. v. Anderson</i> , 270 N. W. 764	342
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 75 of Delaware General Corporation Law as to liability of directors for declaration of dividends, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Company v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53	414
MINNESOTA—Court will not compel declaration of dividend unless directors act "fraudulently, oppressively, unreasonably and unjustly," <i>Schmitt, Jr., Trustee, et al. v. Eagle Roller Mill Company et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 277	415
NEW JERSEY—Conditions required to hold alleged directors accountable as such, <i>Du Bois v. Century Cement Products Co. et al.</i> , 183 A. 188	200

	Page
Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helpman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320
NEW YORK—If a judgment is recovered against a director in a state court for violation of section 15, Stock Corporation Law, it is not a dischargeable debt under section 17(a)(4) of the Federal Bankruptcy Act, <i>In re Barnett Bernard, bankrupt-appellant; New York Credit Men's Association, creditor-appellee</i> , 87 F. (2d) 705	368
OKLAHOMA—Resolution of directors limiting authority of officers and agents is not binding upon uninformed party dealing with them, <i>Cherokee Public Service Co. v. Harry Cragin Lumber Co.</i> , 49 P. (2d) 723	104
PENNSYLVANIA—Refusal of directors to distribute proceeds of life insurance policy as a dividend, upheld, <i>Jones v. Motor Sales Co. of Johnstown et al.</i> , 185 A. 809	274
TEXAS—Actual, not constructive, knowledge, approval and consent necessary to render directors of corporation, whose right to do business had been forfeited, liable on debts, <i>Groce-Parrish Co. v. Yakey et al.</i> , 81 S. W. (2d) 273	35
WISCONSIN—A director or fiduciary officer is presumed to serve without compensation in the absence of a valid agreement therefor; by-law conflicting with statute held valid, <i>Security Savings & Trust Co. v. Coos Bay Lumber and Coal Co. et al.</i> , 263 N. W. 187	128
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 35 of Delaware General Corporation Law relative to directors' liability for payment of dividends out of capital assets, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Co. v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53	414
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY:	
ALABAMA—Tortious act of foreign parent company's agent—liability of domestic subsidiary, <i>Lerner Shops of Alabama v. Riddle</i> , 264 So. 385	129
ARKANSAS—Corporation a successor of another's business licenses not subject to judgment against latter, <i>Hammett et al. v. Motor Express, Inc.</i> , 87 S. W. (2d) 19	136
CALIFORNIA—Corporate entity disregarded in attachment proceedings, <i>Grotheer et al. v. Meyer Rosenberg, Inc., et al.</i> , 53 P. (2d) 996	174
DELAWARE—Delaware subsidiary of an Ohio corporation held not to be responsible for acts of parent company where acting as an independent dealer, <i>McLean v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Inc.</i> , 85 F. (2d) 150; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 193	247, 283
KANSAS—Where a bankrupt had directed all the policies of a corporation for many years, <i>Adams as Adm.x. etc. v. Morgan et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 643	126
MICHIGAN—Conditions required, <i>Gledhill et al. v. Fisher & Co. et al.</i> , 262 N. W. 371	55
Creditor of a corporation whose assets are taken over by another corporation under an agreement to assume the liabilities, may maintain an action at law against the company acquiring the debtor's assets, <i>Garey v. Kelvinator Corporation</i> , 271 N. W. 723	414
MISSISSIPPI—Corporate entity not disregarded where two subsidiaries occupied the same offices and had practically the same officers and directors, <i>James B. Berry Sons' Co., Inc. v. Owens</i> , 169 So. 685	273

	Page
MISSOURI—Stockholder of parent company, which completely dominated subsidiary, granted mandamus to inspect subsidiary's books, <i>State ex rel. United Brick & Tile Co. et al. v. Wright, Judge</i> , 95 S. W. (2d) 804	174
NEW JERSEY—A stockholder who sold his stock to his corporation, which was later dissolved, may recover the balance of purchase price from a new corporation having the same personnel, formed to carry on the business of his corporation, <i>Fox v. Radel Leather Mfg. Co. et al.</i> , 189 A. 366	393
OHIO—Separate entities of parent and subsidiary not disregarded, <i>North et al. v. Higbee Co. et al.</i> , 3 N. E. (2d) 391; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 432	273, 353
OKLAHOMA—New corporation organized to succeed to business of existing corporation; liability for debts, <i>Oklahoma Title Co. et al. v. Burrus</i> , 44 P. (2d) 852	34
Corporate entity may be disregarded where one company is merely an adjunct or instrumentality of another by which the former is dominated and controlled, <i>Wallace v. Tulsa Yellow Cab Taxi & Baggage Co. et al.</i> , 61 P. (2d) 645	344
Foreign parent company, not doing business in state, does not become subject to jurisdiction because of subsidiaries' presence there, provided separate corporate entities are formally maintained, <i>Wilhelm et al. v. Consolidated Oil Corp. et al.</i> , 84 F. (2d) 739	299
RHODE ISLAND—A corporation, which is merely a continuation of another corporation which absorbs the business and property of the latter, may be held liable for the latter's debts, <i>Cranston Dressed Meat Co., Inc. v. Packers Outlet Co., Inc.</i> , 190 A. 29	398

DISSOLUTION:

ARIZONA—Distributive share dividend, upon dissolution, of stockholders who cannot be found, becomes property of State, <i>Matter of Hull Copper Company</i> , 50 P. (2d) 560	78
BRITISH COLUMBIA—Assets of dissolved corporation, reverting to Crown, held recoverable upon reinstatement of corporation, <i>A.-G. B. C. v. Royal Bk. et al.</i> , (1937) 1 D. L. R. 637; affirmed (1937) 3 D. L. R. 393	390
ILLINOIS—Corporation dissolved for failure to file reports and pay taxes held to be without right to sue for recovery of its funds held in escrow, <i>Billiard Table Mfg. Corp. v. First-Tyler Bank & Trust Co.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 990	367
MISSISSIPPI—Effect of dissolution in home state on status of foreign corporation in another state, <i>Rawlings v. American Oil Co.</i> , 161 So. 851	15
NEW JERSEY—Officer of dissolved corporation held not subject to penalties for failure to file report subsequent to dissolution, <i>Metropolitan Casualty Insurance Co. of N. Y. v. Brooker</i> , 185 A. 926	273
NEW YORK—Dissolution under Section 71 of General Corporation Law of a corporation which has ceased to exist by limitation, <i>Delaware & Hudson Co. v. Mechanicville & Fort Edward Railroad Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. Y. 394, 197 N. E. 325	81
Rights of stockholder who remains inactive until after consummation of reorganization plan and later dissolution of corporation, <i>In re Duer et al.</i> , 270 N. Y. 343, 1 N. E. (2d) 457	225

	Page
OREGON—Action, pending against corporation at close of five-year statutory "settling of business" period following dissolution, held to abate, <i>G. M. Standifer Construction Corp. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , 78 F. (2d) 285	35
UTAH—Unclaimed distributive shares of stockholders, upon dissolution, ordered held in trust for lawful owners, and not subject to distribution to state or to stockholders who had already asserted their claims, <i>In re Montello Salt Company</i> , 53 P. (2d) 727	176
WASHINGTON—Upon dissolution, property of corporation passes to stockholders, subject to corporate liabilities, <i>Cohen v. L. & G. Investment Co.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1042	227
 DIVIDENDS:	
ARIZONA—Distributive share dividend, upon dissolution, of stockholders who cannot be found, becomes property of state, <i>Matter of Hull Copper Company</i> , 50 P. (2d) 560	78
DELAWARE—Right to accrued dividends held a vested right which may not be destroyed by amendment as to dividends accrued up to time of adoption of amendment, <i>Keller et al. v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 180 A. 584; reversed 190 A. 115; reargument denied, Feb. 19, 1937	6, 270, 337
Minutes showing declaration of dividends are not "instruments of writing for the payment of money," <i>Seely v. Fleming Coal Co.</i> , 180 A. 326	102
Michigan court holds director of Delaware corporation not liable in connection with declaration of dividends under Delaware law, <i>Stratton et al., Trustees in Bankruptcy of Clarence Saunders Stores, Inc. v. Anderson</i> , 270 N. W. 764	342
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 35 of Delaware General Corporation Law as to liability of directors for declaration of dividends, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Company v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53	414
LOUISIANA—Payment of dividend should be made to all stockholders at the same time, <i>Tichenor v. Dr. G. H. Tichenor Company, Limited</i> , 164 So. 275	127
MINNESOTA—Court will not compel declaration of dividend unless directors act "fraudulently, oppressively, unreasonably and unjustly," <i>Schmitt, Jr., trustee, et al. v. Eagle Roller Mill Company et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 277	415
NEW JERSEY—Payment of dividends on shares of different par values, although of the same class, <i>McGahan v. United Engineering Corporation, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 195	33
Conflicting equitable rights in liquidating dividend resolved in favor of first existing claim, <i>W. G. W. Distributing Company v. Dorothy Lowy et al.</i> , 183 A. 169	175
ONTARIO—Mailing of dividend warrant to stockholder at his registered address in accordance with by-law held to constitute a legal payment of the dividend, <i>Rands v. Hiram Walker, G. & W. Ltd.</i> , (1936) 4 D. L. R. 186	296
PENNSYLVANIA—Debtor's retention of stock dividend, on stock pledged as collateral held an implied breach of trust, <i>Peoples-Pittsburgh Trust Co. v. Saupp</i> , 182 A. 376	175
Refusal of directors to distribute proceeds of life insurance policy as a dividend upheld, <i>Jones v. Motor Sales Co. of Johnstown et al.</i> , 185 A. 809	274

	Page
VIRGINIA—Order of Chancellor for declaration of dividend, acquiesced in by all but four of fifteen directors affirmed, <i>Starring et al. v. Kemp et al.</i> , 188 S. E. 174.....	345
DOING BUSINESS: See also SERVICE OF PROCESS.	
ALABAMA—Sale of marble, involving installation by seller, held doing business, <i>Times Building Co. for use of Gray-Knox Marble Co. v. Cline et al.</i> , 173 So. 42.....	398
Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>State v. Southern Natural Gas Corporation</i> , 170 So. 173, affirmed, 57 S. Ct. 696	232, 422
ARKANSAS—Mere collection of debt is not doing business, <i>Moran v. Union Savings Bank & Trust Company</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 638.....	297
DELAWARE—Claim by New York State for franchise and license taxes disallowed in proceeding in Delaware court on ground that corporation was not "doing business" in New York, <i>Elsner v. United American Utilities, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 589.....	86
Corporation making sales in interstate commerce held not subject to occupational license tax, <i>Wilmingon Dry Goods Co. v. National Automatic Machine Co.</i> , 190 A. 735	415
FLORIDA—Shipments by foreign corporation to its agent within state, followed by sale of goods there, held doing business, <i>Reliance Fertilizer Co. v. Davis</i> , 169 So. 579.....	254
GEORGIA—Income tax law of 1929 held not to apply to income from sale of products of Georgia mines sold in other states, <i>State Revenue Commission et al. v. Edgar Brothers Co.</i> , 190 S. E. 623.....	423
IDAHO—Investment in mortgages held doing business, <i>John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Girard et al.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 254.....	254, 275, 346
INDIANA—Agent soliciting orders, which he personally filled from stock supplied to him over State lines, held to be doing intrastate business, <i>Town of Sellisburg v. Stanforth</i> , 198 N. E. 437.....	107
IOWA—Iowa insurance company held not doing business under Missouri statutes so as to render default judgment obtained in a Missouri court enforceable in Iowa, <i>Saunders v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Assn.</i> , 270 N. W. 407	370
LOUISIANA—Right to use advertising matter may be subject of sale in interstate commerce; seller held relieved from necessity to register as a foreign corporation, <i>Norm Advertising, Inc. v. Parker</i> , 172 So. 586	416
MASSACHUSETTS—Foreign corporation, with its principal office at Boston, not engaged exclusively in interstate commerce, held subject to the corporate excise tax, <i>Atlantic Lumber Co. v. Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation</i> , 197 N. E. 525; affirmed 56 S. Ct. 887	42, 234
MICHIGAN—Furnishing plans and designs and supervision by foreign engineering corporation held interstate commerce and qualification unnecessary, <i>Bay City v. Fraser</i> , 77 F. (2d) 570.....	14
MISSISSIPPI—Collection of notes and foreclosure of deeds of trust held not doing business, <i>North American Mortgage Co. v. Hudson et al.</i> , 168 So. 79.....	230
Corporation acting as real estate broker in effecting sales held "doing business," <i>Marx & Bendorf, Inc. v. First Joint Stock Land Bank of New Orleans, Louisiana</i> , 173 So. 297.....	417

	Page
NEW JERSEY—Where plaintiff foreign corporation was not authorized to do business in the state at the time of commencing its suit, but subsequently became authorized, the suit may be maintained, <i>Peter Doelger, Brewing Corporation v. Spindel, et al.</i> , 186 A. 429	255
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446	350
NEW MEXICO—Making sales in interstate commerce of lighting system plants, installed by purchaser, is not "doing business," <i>Abner Mfg. Co. of Wapakoneta, Ohio, v. McLaughlin</i> , 64 P. (2d) 387	417
NEW YORK—Unlicensed foreign corporation doing business in state denied right to establish claim in court proceedings, <i>Estate of Edwin King Scheffel</i> , 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809	58, 417
NORTH CAROLINA—Soliciting, taking and delivering of photographs within state held to be doing intrastate business, although developing and finishing were done elsewhere, <i>Lucas et al. v. The City of Charlotte</i> , 86 F. (2d) 394	350
OKLAHOMA—Foreign insurance company not required to comply with statutes governing qualification of ordinary business corporations, where it had complied with special statutes relating to insurance companies which accomplish the same purpose, <i>Carlin et ux v. Prudential Insurance Co. of America</i> , 52 P. (2d) 721	202
An isolated transaction does not constitute doing business, <i>Dime Savings & Trust Co. v. Humphreys</i> , 53 P. (2d) 665	203
ONTARIO—Unlicensed extra-provincial corporation is not doing business when merely instituting actions or appeal proceedings, <i>International Railway Co. v. Niagara Parks Commission</i> , (1936) 2 D. L. R. 405	230
PENNSYLVANIA—Foreign corporation financing purchase and sale of refrigerators held doing business for purposes of capital stock and bonus taxes, <i>Refrigeration Discount Corp. v. Metzger</i> , 10 F. Supp. 748	19
SOUTH DAKOTA—Foreign corporations acceptance in another state of assignment of conditional sale contract made within South Dakota held not to be doing business, <i>General Motors Acceptance Corporation v. Huron Finance Corporation et al.</i> , 262 N. W. 195	59
Sales of samples, by a salesman selling by sample in interstate commerce, will not give the interstate business an intrastate character, <i>Wyman, Partridge Holding Co. v. Lowe et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 181	418
TENNESSEE—Unlicensed foreign corporation loaning money on property in state and purchasing at foreclosure held not doing business, <i>Erwin Nat. Bank v. Riddle et al.</i> , 79 S. W. (2d) 1032	41
Erection of sign listing foreign corporation's offices in another state, coupled with single instance of loan effected on Tennessee property, held not to be doing business, <i>Fox, Trustee, et al. v. River Heights, Inc. et al.</i> , Tennessee Supreme Court, Jan. 11, 1936, CCH CDR No. 149878	154
TEXAS—Sale of merchandise from house to house held doing business, <i>Baldwin Music Shop, Inc. v. Watson</i> , 88 S. W. (2d) 516; reversed, 102 S. W. (2d) 478	134, 419
Leasing of shoe repairing machinery is doing business, <i>Davis v. United Shoe Repairing Machinery Co.</i> , 92 S. W. (2d) 1107	231
VIRGINIA—A domestic corporation, with its only office in the state, is subject to an ad valorem tax on its capital, even though its business is interstate in character, <i>Commonwealth v. Imperial Coal Sales Co.</i> , 183 S. E. 234	161

	Page
WASHINGTON—Foreign corporation held doing business so as to be subject to the gross income or occupation tax, <i>Milwaukee Land Company v. State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 996	327
WISCONSIN—Plea entered by defendant of the non-qualification of plaintiff, a foreign trust company merely acting as one of two trustees under contracts entered into outside Wisconsin, held not a valid defense, <i>Union Trust Co. of Md. et al. v. Rodeman et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 508	203
A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in Wisconsin is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545	326
Taking assignment in another state of notes secured by chattel mortgage on Wisconsin property is not doing business in Wisconsin, <i>Muldorney et al. v. McCoy Hotel Co.</i> , 269 N. W. 655	351
Unlicensed foreign corporation denied right to sue on contract involving Wisconsin property, <i>Florida Realty Finance & Security Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Sons Co.</i> , 272 N. W. 38	422
 EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY:	
ARKANSAS—Statutory provision substituting special rule as to corporate employers' liability, in lieu of common law "fellow-servant" rule remaining applicable to individual employers, held not discriminatory, <i>Phillips Petroleum Co. et al. v. Jenkins</i> , 56 S. Ct. 611, rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 745	201
 FOREIGN CORPORATIONS: See also DOING BUSINESS, SERVICE OF PROCESS and TAXATION.	
ARIZONA—Courts will not interfere in the internal management of affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Van Denburgh v. Tungsten Reef Mines Co. et al.</i> , 63 P. (2d) 647	369
MISSOURI—Licensed foreign corporation, may, nevertheless, be engaged in interstate commerce and not subject to jurisdiction of state public service commission, <i>State ex rel. Cities Service Gas Co. v. Public Service Commission et al.</i> , 85 S. W. (2d) 890; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 382	83
NEW YORK—Suit directly involving internal affairs and management of a foreign corporation should be instituted in state of domicile of corporation, <i>Garfield et al. v. The Great Northern Railway Co. et al.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., Eastern Dist. of N. Y., Dec. 20, 1935, CCH CDR No. 149709	154
Right of examination under Section 170, General Corporation Law concerning property of corporation, is not available to a receiver who has been appointed in another state, <i>In re Herbert Myerberg and Harry A. Pechenik, Receivers of the Union Cigar Co.</i> , N. Y. Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, Nov. 27, 1936. CCH CDR No. 167390	323
NORTH DAKOTA—New Foreign Corporation Act noted (Laws of 1937, H. B. No. 217)	361
OKLAHOMA—Statute permitting inspection of foreign corporation's books and papers in proceedings involving their internal management, may not be invoked in ad valorem tax proceedings, <i>Gilmer Oil Co. v. Ross, Judge</i> , 62 P. (2d) 76	399

	Page
PENNSYLVANIA—Courts will not interfere with internal affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Kelly v. Brackenridge Brewing Co., Inc. et al.</i> , 178 A. 487	16
Writ of mandamus to compel the qualification of a foreign non-profit corporation denied, <i>Horowitz v. Beamish, Secretary of the Commonwealth</i> , 40 Dauphin County Reports 336; affirmed 185 A. 760	58, 231
GARNISHMENT: See SERVICE OF PROCESS.	
INSOLVENCY:	
DELAWARE—Delaware franchise taxes arising during receivership held to be valid claims by Wisconsin court, <i>State of Delaware v. J. Seton Gray, Receiver</i> , 267 N. W. 310	260
INDIANA—Evidence required to warrant a finding that a corporation is insolvent, <i>Merriman & Wasson Co. Inc. v. Eagle Pencil Co.</i> , 199 N. E. 243	151
NEW YORK—Right of examination under Section 170, General Corporation Law, concerning property of corporation, is not available to a receiver appointed in another state, <i>In re Herbert Myerberg and Harry A. Pechenik, Receivers of the Union Cigar Co.</i> , N. Y. Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, Nov. 27, 1936, CCH CDR No. 167390	323
NORTH CAROLINA—Receiver continuing business; liability of corporation for franchise tax, <i>Stagg et al. v. George E. Nisson Co., Inc.</i> , 180 S. E. 658	88
OHIO—Subscription agreement, providing for repurchase of stock by corporation under certain circumstances, will not be enforced where corporation is insolvent, the intervening rights of creditors rendering the agreement unenforceable, <i>Squire, Supt. of Banks, et al. v. Rafferty</i> , 2 N. E. (2d) 255	226
TENNESSEE—Funds of insolvent foreign corporation having Tennessee creditors are not recoverable by foreign receiver, but will be retained within state and treated as trust fund distributable ratably among creditors, <i>Davis v. Anra Grotto M. O. V. P. E. R., Inc., et al., (Warner, Intervener)</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 754	179
INSPECTION OF BOOKS:	
CALIFORNIA—Powers of trial court, <i>Transbay Construction Co. v. The Superior Court et al.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 1237	198
DELAWARE—Court of Chancery will deny petition to inspect books where inspection is not pertinent to subject matter before court, <i>Parrish v. Commonwealth Trust Co. and Petroleum Properties, Inc.</i> , 181 A. 658	80
IDAHO—Inspection allowed although corporation maintained no office in state, <i>White v. Coeur d'Alene Big Creek Mining Co.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 270	199
ILLINOIS—Mandamus allowed to inspect books of foreign corporation with principal office in state, <i>Wise v. H. M. Byllesby & Co. et al.</i> , 1 N. E. (2d) 536	227
MISSOURI—Stockholder of parent company, which completely dominated subsidiary, granted mandamus to inspect subsidiary's books, <i>State ex rel. United Brick & Tile Co. et al. v. Wright, Judge</i> , 95 S. W. (2d) 804	174

	Page
NEW JERSEY—Inspection of books allowed, <i>McGahan v. United Engineering Corporation, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 195.....	33
Circumstances under which inspection of books will be ordered, <i>Fuller v. White Metal Mfg. Co. et al.</i> , 180 A. 231.....	80
NEW YORK—State statute relative to inspection of stock books held not applicable to a national bank, <i>Lauer v. Bayside National Bank</i> , 280 N. Y. S. 139.....	9
When inspection of corporate books will be permitted, <i>Durr et al. v. Paragon Trading Corporation</i> , 270 N. Y. 464, 1 N. E. (2d) 967.....	225
Inspection of books of corporation in process of reorganization under Section 77B allowed, <i>In re Bush Terminal Co.</i> , 78 F. (2d) 662..	55
OKLAHOMA—Statute permitting inspection of foreign corporation's books and papers in proceedings involving their internal management, may not be invoked in ad valorem tax proceedings, <i>Gilmer Oil Co. v. Ross, Judge</i> , 62 P. (2d) 76.....	399
TEXAS—The right of a stockholder to inspect the corporate books is not limited to one occasion, <i>Smith v. Trumbull Farmers Gin Co. et al.</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 829.....	176
Corporations are entitled to jury trial on issue of bad faith of stockholder seeking inspection of corporate records, <i>Guaranty Old Line Life Co. et al. v. McCallum, Judge, et al.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 966....	369
MERGER:	
DELAWARE—New law cited (L. 1935, H. B. No. 324).....	1
Where there is a merger of Delaware corporations and Delaware corporation is the surviving corporation, there is allowed against the tax due upon such merger a deduction to the extent of the tax computed upon the aggregate of the authorized capital stock of all the constituent corporations, <i>State v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation</i> , 184 A. 873.....	222
MARYLAND—New law cited (L. 1935, Ch. 551).....	1
PENNSYLVANIA—Shareholder dissenting to building and loan corporations merger agreement held barred by statutory limitation from establishing claim, <i>Gorges v. Greater Adelphi Building & Loan Assn.</i> , 185 A. 815.....	275
NAME:	
CALIFORNIA—Secretary of State upheld in refusal to accept incorporation papers because of similarity of name. <i>Cranford v. Jordan, Secretary of State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 45.....	319
GEORGIA—Corporation doing business in a trade name held subject to provisions of Trade-Name Registration Act, <i>Constitution Publishing Company v. Lyon</i> , 183 S. E. 653.....	199
Right to use of corporate name held not an exclusive right as against others who might adopt a somewhat similar name, <i>National Brand Stores, Inc. v. Muse & Associates et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 84.....	248
NEW YORK—Injunctive relief granted against use of identical well-established corporate name by a recently organized company, <i>Interstate Department Stores, Inc. v. Interstate Department Stores, Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 7, 1936, CCH CDR No. 149664.....	162
Injunctions granted because of similarity in corporate names, <i>Philmore Dress Corporation v. Philmore Dress Co., Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., July 22, 1936. CCH CDR No. 162663; <i>Crowe & Co., Inc. v. T. L. Crowe & Co., Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Aug. 12, 1936. CCH CDR No. 163197.....	278

	Page
WEST VIRGINIA—Injunction restraining use of similar name; unfair competition, <i>Household Finance Corporation of Delaware v. Household Finance Corporation of West Virginia</i> , 11 F. Supp. 3	38
OFFICERS' SALARIES:	
MASSACHUSETTS—Salaries; cost of setting up voting trust, <i>Sagalyn et al. v. Meekins, Packard and Wheat, Inc., et al.</i> , 195 N. E. 769	32
POWERS:	
MASSACHUSETTS—Authority of parent company to guarantee obligations of subsidiary upheld, <i>In re Duncan & Goodell Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 550	250
MINNESOTA—Sale of entire assets of Minnesota corporation upheld, <i>Hill v. Page & Hill Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 705	271
Right of corporations to elect not to be bound by "Minnesota Business Corporation Act" of 1933, upheld, <i>Muller et al. v. Theo. Hamm Brewing Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 204	224
NEW JERSEY—Power of president to retain accountant to make monthly audit of books, <i>Besser v. Eisler Electric Corporation</i> , 178 A. 750	8
WASHINGTON—Unqualified foreign trust company held empowered to hold and convey good title to real estate, <i>Townsend v. Rosenbaum et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 251. (For subsequent legislation, see sec. 16, H. B. No. 531, L. 1937)	323
RECEIVERS: See INSOLVENCY.	
SALE:	
MONTANA—Attempted transfer of property rights to an unformed Montana corporation held ineffectual, <i>Schwartz v. Inspiration Gold Mining Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 1030	343
SEAL:	
NORTH CAROLINA—Affixing corporate seal not essential to paper appointing substitute trustee, <i>North Carolina Mortgage Corp. v. Morgan</i> , 182 S. E. 450	137
OKLAHOMA—Corporate seal required to be affixed to contract of corporation to sell real property where specific performance is sought, <i>Downing v. Young Men's Christian Assn. et al.</i> , CCH CDR No. 165065	296
SERVICE OF PROCESS:	
ALABAMA—Valid although made on foreign corporation which had discontinued business, <i>Parker v. Central of Georgia Railway Company</i> , 170 So. 333	346
CALIFORNIA—Where tort, unconnected with California business, is committed in another state, service may not be made on California statutory agent, <i>Miner v. United Air Lines Transport Corporation</i> , 16 F. Supp. 930	370
COLORADO—Held valid where made upon unqualified foreign insurance company soliciting business by radio, <i>Union Mutual Life Co. of Iowa v. District Court of City and County of Denver et al.</i> , 47 P. (2d) 401	57
Service of process on foreign corporation in home state insufficient to permit entry of personal judgment against it in Colorado, <i>People ex rel. Edinburg State Bank & Trust Co. v. District Court of Routt County et al.</i> , 50 P. (2d) 789	106
GEORGIA—Service of garnishment on resident agent of foreign corporation held valid, <i>Jewel Tea Co. v. Patillo et al.</i> , 178 S. E. 925	10

	Page
INDIANA—Validity of judgment obtained in Indiana through service of process on Secretary of State where corporation received no notice of suit, as viewed by the Michigan Supreme Court, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Co.</i> , 271 N. W. 712; writ of certiorari denied by U. S. Supreme Court, 58 S. Ct. 15	398
IOWA—Occasional orders, accepted at home office of foreign corporation in another state, held not doing business so as to subject it to service of process, <i>Dorsey v. Anderson et al.</i> , CCH CDR No. 168773	347
KENTUCKY—Collection of data by an unlicensed foreign corporation for defense of action held not to subject it to service of process, <i>Walker v. Ritter-Burns Lumber Co.</i> , 10 F. Supp. 804	11
MAINE—Service made upon an agent of defendant corporation's agent, held invalid, <i>Berman v. Affiliated Enterprise, Inc.</i> , et al., 17 F. Supp. 305	347
MARYLAND—Service of process after dissolution of corporation held effective, <i>Acton v. Washington Times Company</i> , 15 F. Supp. 257	130
Corporation held subject to service of process in state where substantially all of its business activities are carried on, <i>Wolman et al. v. State Founders, Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., Dist. of Md., Jan. 17, 1936, CCH CDR No. 150219	153
MASSACHUSETTS—On foreign corporation maintaining bank accounts, holding directors' meetings, signing contracts and directing other activities in state, held valid, <i>Trojan Engineering Corp. v. Green Mountain Power Corp.</i> , 200 N. E. 117	177
MICHIGAN—Where a licensed foreign corporation neglects to maintain a statutory agent and service of process is made on the Secretary of State, the validity of a judgment based upon such service is not affected by failure of the corporation to receive notice of service from the Secretary of State, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Company</i> , 271 N. W. 712; writ of certiorari denied by U. S. Supreme Court, 58 S. Ct. 15	398
MISSOURI—Corporation engaged in interstate commerce may become subject to process of local courts, <i>Meek v. New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad Co.</i> , 88 S. W. (2d) 333	131
Substituted service on foreign corporation whose only property in state was stock owned in a domestic corporation, held unauthorized, <i>State ex rel. Utilities Power & Light Corp. v. Ryan et al.</i> , Judges, 88 S. W. (2d) 157	177
MONTANA—Sale of machinery, coupled with installation, servicing and repairing, held doing business, <i>State ex rel. Taylor Laundry Co. v. District Court et al.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 772	230
NEW JERSEY—Foreign corporation furnishing radio programs to subsidiary corporation which broadcast programs from station within state held not doing business in New Jersey so as to be subject to service, <i>Hoffman v. Carter et al.</i> , 187 A. 576; affirmed, 192 A. 825	298
Attachment of property of foreign corporation in a (state) District Court action, <i>Manuel Logging Company v. Hanson</i> , New Jersey Supreme Court, July 28, 1936, CCH CDR No. 163301	254
NEW MEXICO—Statutory agent of foreign corporation having left state, service on Secretary of State held good; corporation not notified, default judgment taken, <i>Silva v. Crombie & Co.</i> , 44 P. (2d) 719	15

	Page
NEW YORK—Maintenance of office by foreign corporation; service on local agent held good, <i>Frank MacMonnies Corporation v. Sunical Packing Co.</i> , 75 F. (2d) 467.....	16
Maintaining office for answering inquiries and collection of overdue accounts held not to be doing business, <i>Wolitz v. India Tire Co.</i> , 10 F. Supp. 53.....	40
Booking agent's solicitation of patronage for foreign hotel corporation held not to be doing business by the foreign corporation, <i>Prince v. Hotel Bermudiana Co., Ltd., et al.</i> , 14 F. Supp. 798.....	178
Delaware corporation's stock owned by attachment debtor may be subjected to attachment in New York, <i>Cotnareanu et al. v. National City Bank of New York et al.</i> , 271 N. Y. 115, 3 N. E. (2d) 451.....	255
Foreign newspaper corporation with solicitor in state held not doing business so as to be subject to service of process, <i>Lauricella et al. v. Evening News Publishing Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 671.....	298
Temporary maintenance of booth at exhibition held not doing business so as to render corporation subject to service of process, <i>Rhodes v. Martin</i> , New York Supreme Court, Special Term, New York County, Dec. 8, 1936.....	350
Foreign newspaper corporation publishing advertising solicited independently by New York advertising agency held not doing business in New York, <i>Taylor v. The Tulsa Tribune Company</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 11, 1937, CCH CDR No. 169963.....	371
Purchasing by a foreign corporation held not to be "doing business" so as to subject its employees to service of summons, <i>Fickett v. Higginbotham-Bailey-Logan Co.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 566.....	418
OKLAHOMA—Activities of corporation in solicitation of orders, recapture and resale of property, etc., held doing business, <i>Wills v. National Mineral Co.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 449.....	179
Unqualified foreign trust company, having no agents in state and merely attempting to collect debt, held not doing business, <i>Dunham v. Marine Midland Trust Co. of New York</i> , 53 P. (2d) 254.....	202
Foreign parent company, not doing business in state, does not become subject to jurisdiction because of subsidiaries' presence there, provided separate corporate entities are formally maintained, <i>Wilhelm et al. v. Consolidated Oil Corp. et al.</i> , 84 F. (2d) 739.....	299
SOUTH CAROLINA—Installation of machinery; process held valid, <i>Garrett Engineering Co. v. Auburn Foundry, Inc.</i> , 179 S. E. 693.....	40
General assistance rendered dealers within state in developing sales methods held not doing business, <i>Wiggins & Sons, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 186 S. E. 272.....	231
VIRGINIA—Mere maintenance of office in state by foreign corporation, without delegation of an essential function to an agent, is not doing business, <i>Tignor v. L. G. Balfour & Co.</i> , 187 S. E. 468.....	278
WASHINGTON—Selling supplies and equipment and repairing of equipment held to be doing business, <i>Short v. Lewis-Stenger Barbers' Supply Co.</i> , CCH CDR No. 152412.....	182

SPECIAL ARTICLES:

The New State "Compensating Taxes".....	5
Chief Justice John Marshall.....	29
"Capital Stock," "Capital" and "Stated Capital".....	53
Trends in Franchise Taxation, Foreign Corporations.....	101
Business Situs of Intangibles.....	125

	Page
Interstate Commerce Activities and The Social Security Acts	149
Trends in Franchise Taxation, Domestic Corporations	173
Venue of Stockholders' Suits in the Federal Courts	187
Owning Property and "Doing Business"	197
A Very Important Decision	210
Taxation of Intangibles	221
Mergers and Consolidations	245
Occupational License Taxes	269
Ad Valorem Tax Systems	293
Lawyers and the Constitution	317
"Doing Business" in Canada	341
They Studied Law	365
Longevity of Corporations	389
The Constitution, 1787-1937	413

STOCK:

CALIFORNIA—Conflicting rights of pledgee and purchaser at execution sale, <i>Clark v. Western Feeding Co. et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 991	150
Sufficiency of use of initials in endorsement, <i>Clark v. Western Feeding Co. et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 991	150
Statutory right to levy assessment—law in force at time of levy governs, <i>Schroeter, as Trustee, etc. v. Bartlett Syndicate Building Corporation</i> , 63 P. (2d) 824	366
DELAWARE—Dispute over possession of stock certificate, <i>Delaware-New Jersey Ferry Co. v. Leeds et al.</i> , 186 A. 913	271
Common stock without par value issued under amendment in exchange for Class A stock, stands on same footing as other common stock previously issued, although underlying value of the Class A stock may have been greater, <i>Saperstein v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 182 A. 18	102
Right of administrator to vote stock standing in his name where certificates, endorsed by him, had been delivered to distributees, <i>In the Matter of Canal Construction Co.</i> , 182 A. 545	126
Common stock is not a "special" stock which is subject to redemption under Section 27, General Corporation Law, <i>Starring, Jr., v. American Hair & Felt Co.</i> , 191 A. 887	390
GEORGIA—Individual stockholder may not recover for depreciation in value of stock, where suit is brought against corporation, stockholders and others alleged to have conspired to depreciate value of stock, <i>Kimbrough v. Gainesville Mather Co., et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 169	248
ILLINOIS—Stock subscription agreement enforced, <i>In re Gillham's Estate</i> , 3 N. E. (2d) 524	239
INDIANA—Action involving fixing of value of stock of dissenting stockholders under a consolidation, <i>Republic Finance & Investment Co. et al. v. Fenstermaker et al.</i> , 6 N. E. (2d) 541	391
KANSAS—That entire authorized capital stock had not been subscribed held not a valid defense in suit on unpaid subscription, <i>Norton v. Lamb</i> , 62 P. (2d) 1311	392
KENTUCKY—Purchase of its own stock by corporation for purposes of retirement, <i>Germann et al. v. Farmers Tobacco Ware House Co.</i> , 84 S. W. (2d) 82	32
LOUISIANA—Subscriber to corporate stock becomes owner of shares upon payment; furnishing of funds by another does not affect subscriber's title to the stock, <i>McWilliams et al. v. Geddes & Moss Undertaking & Embalming Co., Limited, et al.</i> , 169 So. 894	342

	Page
MARYLAND—Action involving stock appraisal carried to the Maryland Court of Appeals, <i>American General Corporation v. Camp et al.</i> , 190 A. 225	392
MICHIGAN—Action by receiver on promissory note given in payment of shares of stock, <i>Abrin v. Equitable Trust Co. et al.</i> , 261 N. W. 85	7
MISSOURI—Equity may compel re-transfer where assignment of shares was induced by fraud, <i>Breneman v. The Laundry et al.</i> , 87 S. W. (2d) 429	151
NEW JERSEY—Use of treasury funds by company to purchase its own stock held unlawful where capital was seriously impaired as a result, <i>Gibbon v. Hill</i> , 79 F. (2d) 288	103
Agreement to repurchase stock at par or for a specified amount held invalid where unauthorized and made in disregard of equitable rights of other stockholders, <i>Hoops et al. v. Leddy et al.</i> , 182 A. 271	151
NEW YORK—Liability of transfer agent in transferring stock in connection with certificate reported to it as lost, <i>Van Schaick, Superintendent of Insurance, etc. v. National City Bank of New York</i> , 283 N. Y. S. 372	113
Stamping in name of actual owner of stock certificate as attorney to transfer the stock, otherwise properly endorsed by stockholder of record, held to prevent transfer of title to a bona fide purchaser obtaining certificate subsequent to loss in mails when so stamped, <i>Sum Insurance Office, Ltd. of London v. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company</i> , N. Y. Supreme Court, New York County, CCH CDR No. 163456	259
OHIO—Subscription agreement, providing for repurchase of stock by corporation under certain circumstances, will not be enforced where corporation is insolvent, the intervening rights of creditors rendering the agreement unenforceable, <i>Squire, Supt. of Banks, et al. v. Rafferty</i> , 2 N. E. (2d) 255	226
OKLAHOMA—Contract between corporation and purchaser of shares under which corporation agrees to repurchase; tender, <i>Janeway et al. v. Vandevanter</i> , 45 P. (2d) 79	34
Vice-President's signature on stock certificate forged in other respects, held not binding on company where purchaser had no contacts with that officer, <i>Gooch v. Natural Gas Supply Co.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 932	104
ONTARIO—Purchaser of stock certificate, lost while endorsed in blank, held entitled to compel registration in his name where defendants acquired certificate under circumstances putting them on inquiry, <i>Whitehead v. Bridger, Havenor & Co. et al.</i> , (1936) 3 D. L. R. 408	251
OREGON—Subscription to stock, induced by fraudulent representations, may be rescinded if subscriber is not estopped by laches, acceptance of benefits or intervening rights of third parties, <i>Gordon v. Ralston</i> , 62 P. (2d) 1328	368
PENNSYLVANIA—Debtor's retention of stock dividend on stock pledged as collateral, held an implied breach of trust, <i>Peoples-Pittsburgh Trust Co. v. Soupp</i> , 182 A. 376	175
Conditional subscription agreement to purchase stock, entered into after incorporation, held unenforceable if conditions are not complied with, <i>Berger v. Callander et al., Receivers of General Products Corporation</i> , 81 F. (2d) 687	152

	Page
TEXAS—Rights assigned under an oil and gas lease held "property" for purpose of issuance of corporate stock, <i>McAlister v. Eclipse Oil Co.</i> , 98 S. W. (2d) 171	344
UTAH—Purchase of its own stock by a corporation held void, <i>Pace v. Pace Bros. Co. et al.</i> , 59 P. (2d) 1; petition for rehearing denied, 63 P. (2d) 590	226
STOCKHOLDERS: See also STOCK, STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY and STOCKHOLDERS' MEETINGS.	
ALABAMA—Right of preferred stockholders to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ashwander et al. v. Tennessee Valley Authority et al.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 466, 588	150
BRITISH COLUMBIA—Stockholder permitted to institute derivative action, although proceedings by another stockholder, individually, for same cause of action, had been dismissed, <i>Lloyd-Owen v. Bull</i> , (1936) 4 D. L. R. 273	318
CALIFORNIA—Issuance of "beneficial certificates"—held not creation of bonded indebtedness so as to require stockholders' action, <i>Hammond Lumber Co. v. Adams et al.</i> , 59 P. (2d) 1030	246
DELAWARE—Stockholders have no power to ratify a fraud committed against their corporation, <i>Eshleman et al. v. Keenan et al.</i> , 187 A. 25	223
Conditions required to enable a stockholder to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ainscow v. Sanitary Company of America</i> , 180 A. 614	54
FLORIDA—Actual possession of stock certificates held not necessary to enforce plaintiff's rights in equity, where defendants improperly refuse to issue certificates, <i>Mills Development Corp. v. Shipp & Head, Inc.</i> , 171 So. 533	390
GEORGIA—Individual stockholder may not recover for depreciation in value of stock, where suit is brought against corporation, stockholders and others alleged to have conspired to depreciate value of stock, <i>Kimbrough v. Gainesville Mather Co. et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 169	248
LOUISIANA—Contention of defect in title of Act 250 of 1928, requiring supplying by corporation of verified annual report to stockholder, upon demand, overruled, <i>Dr. G. H. Tichenor, Jr., v. Rolla A. Tichenor, Sr.</i> , 167 So. 427	199
MICHIGAN—Postponement of stock redemption date by amendment held not binding upon stockholder not consenting to amendment, <i>Sutton v. Globe Knitting Works</i> , 267 N. W. 815	223
NEW JERSEY—Stockholder's delay of four months in objecting to plan for acquisition of another corporation's stock held to warrant dismissal of suit, <i>Frazer v. Great Western Sugar Co. et al.</i> , 185 A. 64	224
A stockholder who sold his stock to his corporation, which was later dissolved, may recover the balance of purchase price from a new corporation having the same personnel, formed to carry on the business of his corporation, <i>Fox v. Radel Leather Mfg. Co. et al.</i> , 189 A. 366	393
NEW YORK—Section 71, New York Stock Corporation Law, relating to "liabilities of stockholders to laborers, servants or employees," construed as to foreign corporations, <i>Armstrong v. Dyer, Hobby et al.</i> , 268 N. Y. 671, 198 N. E. 551	57
Stockholders' agreement relative to management of corporations held valid, <i>Clark v. Dodge et al.</i> , 269 N. Y. 410, 199 N. E. 641	200

	Page
In a stockholder's derivative action, the stockholder may issue execution and collect judgment recovered on behalf of corporation, <i>Earl v. Brewer et al.</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 922; judgment modified, 289 N. Y. S. 150.....	127, 224
Rights of stockholder who remains inactive until after consummation of reorganization plan and later dissolution of corporation, <i>In re Duer et al.</i> , 270 N. Y. 343, 1 N. E. (2d) 457.....	225
Attempt by charter amendment, to reclassify noncallable preferred stock so as to make it callable at stated amount at the option of the corporation, held to violate stockholder's vested interest in corporation; section 36(g) of Stock Corporation Law construed. <i>Breslav v. N. Y. & Queens Electric Light & Power Co. et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 932; affirmed 7 N. E. (2d) 708.....	294, 424
OHIO—Voting powers of preferred stockholders, <i>Personal Industrial Bankers, Inc. v. Citizens Budget Co. et al.</i> , 80 F. (2d) 327.....	128
PENNSYLVANIA—Shareholder dissenting to building and loan corporations merger agreement held barred by statutory limitation from establishing claim, <i>Gorges v. Greater Adelphi Building & Loan Assn.</i> , 185 A. 815.....	275
TEXAS—The right of a stockholder to inspect the corporate books is not limited to one occasion, <i>Smith v. Trumbull Farmers Gin Co. et al.</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 829.....	176
Corporations are entitled to jury trial on issue of bad faith of stockholder seeking inspection of corporate records, <i>Guaranty Old Line Life Co. et al. v. McCallum, Judge, et al.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 966.....	369
UTAH—Unclaimed distributive shares of stockholders, upon dissolution, ordered held in trust for lawful owners, and not subject to distribution to state or to stockholders who had already asserted their claims, <i>In re Montello Salt Company</i> , 53 P. (2d) 727.....	176
WASHINGTON—Upon dissolution, property of corporation passes to stockholders, subject to corporate liabilities, <i>Cohen v. L. & G. Investment Co.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1042.....	227
 STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY:	
CALIFORNIA—Debts of corporation, <i>Kayseer v. McNaughton et al.</i> , 46 P. (2d) 217; reversed 57 P. (2d) 927.....	30, 222
Debts of corporation, <i>Bank of California, N. A. v. Craddock-Terry Co.</i> , 83 Fed. (2d) 1123.....	222
Debts of corporation, <i>Title Insurance & Trust Company v. Torrence et al.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 819.....	126
Ultra vires acts, <i>Southern Glass Co. v. Dairy Service Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , 54 P. (2d) 50.....	174
COLORADO—Stockholder of common stock received as a bonus upon purchase of preferred stock, held liable to extent of par value of bonus stock, <i>Frink v. Carman Distributing Co.</i> , 48 P. (2d) 805.....	79
Order enforcing stockholders' liability, entered in Minnesota court, held enforceable in Colorado court against Colorado resident, <i>Chandler, Receiver of Diamond Motor Parts Co. v. Peketz</i> , 56 S. Ct. 602; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 746.....	237
IOWA—Stockholders acting in good faith, held not liable to creditors for dividends paid when corporation was solvent, although capital stock was impaired, <i>Bates, Superintendent, etc. v. Brooks, et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 867.....	391

	Page
MINNESOTA—Order enforcing stockholders' liability, entered in Minnesota Court, held enforceable in Colorado court against Colorado resident, <i>Chandler, Receiver of Diamond Motor Parts Co. v. Pekels</i> , 56 S. Ct. 602; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 746	236
STOCKHOLDERS' MEETINGS:	
CALIFORNIA—Officers ordered by court to call meeting, <i>Dedrick et al. v. California Whaling Co. et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 551	246
TAXATION:	
INITIAL AND ENTRANCE FEES	
DELAWARE—Tax due upon merger—where there is a merger of Delaware corporations, and a Delaware corporation is the surviving corporation, there is allowed against the tax due upon such merger a deduction to the extent of the tax computed upon the aggregate of the authorized capital stock of all the constituent corporations, <i>State v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation</i> , 184 A. 873	222
INDIANA—Additional admission fee held due from a foreign corporation where capital stock represented in state was increased, <i>State of Indiana v. Siosi Oil Corp.</i> , 199 N. E. 232	159
KENTUCKY—Organization tax on capital stock; several increases after reductions, <i>Talbott v. Louisville Trust Co.</i> , 82 S. W. (2d) 219 ..	17
VIRGINIA—Entrance fee of foreign corporations, based upon authorized capital stock, held constitutional, <i>Atlantic Refining Co. v. Commonwealth</i> , 165 Va. 492, 183 S. E. 243; appeal filed in Sup. Ct. of U. S. April 24, 1936; restored to docket for reargument at October 1937 Term; affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 75	136, 402
FRANCHISE TAXES	
ALABAMA—Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>Wisconsin Coosa Company v. State of Alabama</i> , 165 So. 838 ...	153
Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>State v. Southern Natural Gas Corporation</i> , 170 So. 178; affirmed, 57 S. Ct. 696	232, 422
CALIFORNIA—Franchise tax—inclusion of interstate and foreign commerce receipts as measure of tax, <i>Matson Navigation Co. et al. v. State Board of Equalization</i> , 43 P. (2d) 805; affirmed, 56 S. Ct. 553; petition for rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 666	62, 155
Franchise tax—effect on contracts of suspension of powers for failure to pay tax, <i>Depner v. Joseph Zukin Blouses et al.</i> , 56 P. (2d) 574	222
Franchise tax—when amendment increasing rate becomes effective, <i>Filoli, Inc. v. Johnson</i> , 51 P. (2d) 1093	134
DELAWARE—Franchise tax, not due at time of appointment of trustee, held not provable as a claim under Section 64a, Bankruptcy Act, <i>In re International Match Corporation</i> , 79 F. (2d) 203	83
Franchise tax claim by New York State for franchise and license taxes disallowed in proceeding in Delaware court on ground that corporation was not "doing business" in New York, <i>Elsner v. United American Utilities, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 589	86
Franchise Tax—Wisconsin court holds Delaware franchise taxes arising during receivership to be valid claims, <i>State of Delaware v. Gray, Receiver</i> , 267 N. W. 310	260

	Page
ILLINOIS—Franchise tax—failure of Secretary of State to mail franchise tax notices does not affect liability of corporation to payment of the taxes, <i>The Citizens Water Works, Inc. v. Hughes, Secretary of State, et al.</i> , 199 N. E. 265	158
LOUISIANA—Franchise tax—inclusion of borrowed capital in basis, <i>State v. Xeter Realty, Limited</i> , 162 So. 29	17
Franchise tax—operating losses are not deductible in computing the franchise tax, <i>State of Louisiana v. Bisso Realty & Investment Co., Inc.</i> , 167 So. 87	160
Franchise tax—long-time indebtedness held "borrowed capital" required to be included in basis of franchise tax, <i>State of Louisiana v. Banana Selling Company, Inc.</i> , 170 So. 30	233
Franchise tax—this tax is applicable to subsidiaries of insurance companies; indebtedness which is assumed is "borrowed capital" for purposes of franchise tax, <i>State v. Union Building Corporation</i> , 170 So. 7; appeal dismissed 57 S. Ct. 233	302
MARYLAND—Temporary Special Franchise Tax for the year 1936 noted	183
MASSACHUSETTS—Foreign corporation, with its principal office at Boston, not engaged exclusively in interstate commerce, held subject to the corporate excise tax, <i>Atlantic Lumber Co. v. Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation</i> , 197 N. E. 525; affirmed 56 S. Ct. 887	42, 234
Corporate excise tax—allowance as deduction of real estate held in names of nominees, <i>Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation v. Thayer, Bradley Co.</i> , 197 N. E. 47	18
MICHIGAN—Franchise tax—"paid-up capital," for the purpose of the privilege fee, is to be taken as of December 31, <i>Appeal of Newton Packing Co.</i> , 271 N. W. 710	393
MISSOURI—Franchise tax held applicable to corporations engaged principally in interstate commerce, but which carried on activities in state subsequent to cessation of interstate movement of property, <i>State v. Phillips Pipe Line Co.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 109; appeal filed U. S. Sup. Ct., Feb. 5, 1937; continued to the October Term 1937, 57 S. Ct. 668; affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 53	303, 425
NEW JERSEY—Franchise tax—basis of franchise tax where stock was cancelled without notice to Secretary of State, <i>Vulcan Wheels, Inc. v. Martin</i> , 179 A. 620	43
NEW YORK—Franchise (income) tax—Delaware company held taxable for franchise tax purposes under Article 9A rather than as a holding company under Section 188 of Article 9, <i>In re Simms Petroleum Company</i> , 286 N. Y. S. 686	185
Franchise (gross earnings) tax—transfer of amount from surplus account to capital account held not to constitute declaration of dividend for purposes of measuring franchise tax, <i>People ex rel. Adams Electric Light Co. v. Graves et al.</i> , 272 N. Y. 77, 4 N. E. (2d) 941	352
NORTH CAROLINA—Receiver continuing business; liability of corporation for franchise tax, <i>Stagg et al. v. George E. Nisson Co., Inc.</i> , 180 S. E. 658	88
OKLAHOMA—Long-time indebtedness may be deducted in determining value of capital stock for annual license tax purposes, <i>Southwestern Light & Power Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 637	376

	Page
TENNESSEE—Franchise tax—the franchise tax law (L. 1935, Extra Sess., Ch. 5) held constitutional as to corporations and invalid as to partnerships, <i>Corn et al. v. Fort and West Kentucky Coal Co. v. Fort</i> , 95 S. W. (2d) 620	235
TEXAS—Franchise tax—tax, paid in advance, under protest, by a foreign corporation, which became insolvent before the period covered by the payment began, held a voluntary payment which may not be recovered, <i>Producers & Refiners' Corp. of Texas v. Heath, Secretary of State</i> , 81 S. W. (2d) 533	90
UTAH—Foreign holding company held exempt from franchise tax where a number of its subsidiaries filed franchise tax returns, <i>First Security Corporation of Ogden v. State Tax Commission</i> , 63 P. (2d) 1062	377
INCOME TAXES	
ALABAMA—Income tax held constitutional, <i>State v. Weil</i> , 168 So. 679	232
FEDERAL—Nature of Federal Income Tax, <i>Boston & Maine Railroad v. Wilton Railroad Company</i> , 181 A. 545	135
Profit on sale of property sold and delivered in Mexico is income from sources without the United States, despite collection of price in the United States, <i>Helvering, Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. East Coast Oil Co.</i> , S. A., 85 F. (2d) 322; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 234	326
Forfeited payments under capital stock subscription agreements represent capital and are not taxable income, <i>Realty Bond & Mortgage Co. v. United States</i> , 16 F. Supp. 771	327
GEORGIA—Income Tax Law of 1929 held not to apply to income from sale of products of Georgia mines sold in other states, <i>State Revenue Commission et al. v. Edgar Brothers Co.</i> , 190 S. E. 623	423
INDIANA—Gross income tax law held constitutional by the Indiana Supreme Court as applied to the gross income of an Indiana corporation derived from business conducted in interstate and foreign commerce, <i>Storen et al. v. J. D. Adams Mfg. Co.</i> , 7 N. E. (2d) 941	424
MASSACHUSETTS—See "FRANCHISE TAXES" above.	
NEW YORK—Income tax—salary of general counsel of a railroad corporation controlled by the government, through stock ownership, held not immune from state personal income tax, <i>People ex rel. Rogers v. Graves et al.</i> , 283 N. Y. S. 538	135
See also "FRANCHISE TAXES," above.	
OKLAHOMA—Constitutional amendment providing for a Gross Income Tax held inoperative because initiative measure was improperly submitted, <i>Associated Industries of Oklahoma et al. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 55 P. (2d) 79	161
Income tax—income of an unlicensed foreign corporation having no property in Oklahoma, derived wholly from interstate business, held not taxable under the Oklahoma Income Tax Law, <i>Curlee Clothing Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 68 P. (2d) 834	409
OREGON—Offset against excise tax allowed on basis of personal property taxes "paid" rather than as "accrued" during preceding year, <i>Northwestern Ice & Cold Storage Co. v. Galloway et al.</i> , 49 P. (2d) 359	90
PENNSYLVANIA—Income tax—corporate net income tax held constitutional, <i>Turco Paint & Varnish Co. v. Kalodner</i> , 184 A. 37	136

	Page
TENNESSEE—Excise tax—the excise tax is levied upon the privilege of doing business as a corporation and exercising corporate powers for purposes of profit, <i>Memphis Dock & Forwarding Co. v. Fort, Commissioner of Finance & Taxation</i> , 92 S. W. (2d) 408.	207
UTAH—See "FRANCHISE TAXES," above.	
WASHINGTON—Corporate net income tax law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 17) held unconstitutional, <i>Petroleum Navigation Co. v. Henneford et al.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 1056	186
Recovery of income taxes denied where paid under a statute later held invalid, because not paid under statutory form of protest or under compulsion, <i>C. I. T. Corporation v. Spokane County</i> , 57 P. (2d) 322	258
WISCONSIN—Income tax—certiorari denied in case holding invalid a statute declaring a foreign corporation "a resident," under certain circumstances, for income tax purposes, <i>Wisconsin Tax Commission v. Newport Company</i> , 261 N. W. 884; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 598	187

PROPERTY TAXES

FEDERAL—Federal trustees under section 77B held required to pay city taxes upon property in their custody, <i>In re Preble Corporation</i> , 15 F. Supp. 775	279
ILLINOIS—Intangibles of a foreign corporation held not taxable in <i>Illinois, Wheelock Lovejoy & Co., Inc. v. Gill, CCH CDR No. 171891</i> ; 9 N. E. (2d) 58	374
MARYLAND—Taxation of shares of stock in a national bank when owned by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, <i>Baltimore National Bank v. State Tax Commission of Maryland</i> , 56 S. Ct. 417. (See also Public Act No. 482, signed by the President subsequent to this decision.)	160, 184
MINNESOTA—Capital stock tax—statute imposing Minnesota capital stock tax held repealed by later legislation, <i>Bemis Bros. Bag Co. v. Wallace et al.</i> and <i>S. H. Clausin & Co. v. Wallace et al.</i> , 266 N. W. 690	207
MISSOURI—Deposits in New York bank, belonging to a Delaware company with its only office in Missouri, held taxable in <i>Missouri, Smith, Collector of Revenue of Greene County et al. v. The Ajax Pipe Line Co.</i> , 87 F. (2d) 567; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 670	375
NORTH CAROLINA—Intangibles of a foreign corporation held to have a business situs in North Carolina, subjecting them to ad valorem taxation, <i>Mecklenburg County et al. v. Sterchi Bros. Stores, Inc.</i> , 185 S. E. 454	234
Tangible property of foreign corporation held taxable where it is used in the conduct of its business, <i>The Texas Company v. City of Elizabeth City</i> , 187 S. E. 551	281
OKLAHOMA—Intangibles owned by a foreign corporation not employed in business transacted in Oklahoma, do not acquire a "business situs" so as to be assignable to the state for license tax purposes, <i>Chestnut Securities Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 48 P. (2d) 817	89
Credits or accounts receivable of a foreign corporation arising from Oklahoma activities held to have acquired a "business situs" there for ad valorem tax purposes, <i>State v. Atlantic Oil Producing Co.</i> , 49 P. (2d) 534	131

	Page
Ad valorem taxation of property moving in interstate commerce, <i>Louisiana Iron & Supply Co. v. Jolly et al.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 280	111
Situs of tangible personal property for purpose of ad valorem taxation, <i>Johnson Oil Refining Co. v. State ex rel. Templeton</i> , 46 P. (2d) 546	111
Statute permitting inspection of foreign corporation's books and papers in proceedings involving their internal management, may not be invoked in ad valorem tax proceedings, <i>Gilmer Oil Co. v. Rose</i> , Judge, 62 P. (2d) 76	399
OREGON—Delinquency in payment of real property taxes by a corporation is no bar to its maintenance of suit, <i>East Side Mill & Lumber Co. et al. v. Dwyer Logging Co.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 89	376
PENNSYLVANIA—Payment of the franchise tax by a foreign corporation held to exempt its stock from personal property taxes in hands of stockholders, <i>Estate of James W. Arrott, Jr.</i> , 185 A. 697	235
VIRGINIA—A domestic corporation, with its only office in the state, is subject to an ad valorem tax on its capital, even though its business is interstate in character, <i>Commonwealth v. Imperial Coal Sales Co.</i> , 183 S. E. 234	161
WEST VIRGINIA—The intangibles of a foreign corporation may become localized and be taxed for ad valorem purposes in states where they arise in the course of its business, <i>Wheeling Steel Corporation v. Fox</i> , <i>State Tax Commissioner et al.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 773, 298 U. S. 193; rehearing denied, 57 S. Ct. 4	210

SALES TAXES

CALIFORNIA—Retail Sales Tax held constitutional, <i>Roth Drugs, Inc. et al. v. Johnson</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1022	232
Retail Sales Tax repeal initiative measure ordered not submitted, <i>Clark v. Jordan</i> , 60 P. (2d) 457	255
Retail Sales Tax applicable to property sold and delivered in California for shipment by purchaser out of state, <i>Standard Oil Company of California v. Johnson</i> , <i>State Treasurer</i> , CCH CDR No. 168599	352
IDAHO—Retail Sales Tax law held constitutional, except certain sections, <i>Johnson v. Diefendorf</i> , <i>Commissioner of Finance</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1068	233
KENTUCKY—Recovery of taxes paid under the Gross Sales Tax Act of 1930, <i>Talbott et al. v. United Supply Company, Inc.</i> , 91 S. W. (2d) 1002; <i>Hurry Up Broadway Company v. Shannon</i> , 102 S. W. (2d) 30	159, 399
MARYLAND—Gross receipts tax held constitutional, <i>Jones et al. v. Gordy</i> , <i>Comptroller</i> ; <i>Lechert v. Gordy</i> , <i>Comptroller</i> , 180 A. 272	63
MICHIGAN—Sales in interstate commerce held not subject to Michigan sales tax; sales tax paid on goods recaptured under conditional sales contract held not recoverable, <i>Montgomery Ward Co. v. Fry</i> , 269 N. W. 166	280
Sales of men's clothing on order in interstate commerce held not subject to sales tax; later alterations regarded as incidents of delivery, <i>J. B. Simpson, Inc. v. O'Hara et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 809	280
OHIO—Retail sales tax held constitutional as to certain vendors, <i>Fox v. Frank</i> , 52 Ohio App. 483, 3 N. E. (2d) 996; appeal dismissed 198 N. E. 873	64

	Page
UTAH—Where small sales are made and retail sales tax due is a fractional part of a cent, vendor is required to pay the tax on the aggregate amount of such sales, and actual collection of tax from vendee under such circumstances is a matter of adjustment between vendor and vendee, <i>W. F. Jensen Candy Co. v. State Tax Commission</i> , 61 P. (2d) 629	282
WASHINGTON—Retail sales tax law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 3) held constitutional; use of tokens; collection of tax by retailer; exemptions, <i>Morrow v. Henneford et al.</i> , 47 P. (2d) 1016	65
"USE" OR "COMPENSATING" TAXES	
SOUTH CAROLINA—"Compensating tax" on storage, use or consumption of gasoline held constitutional, <i>Gregg Dyeing Co. v. Query et al.</i> , 286 U. S. 472, 52 S. Ct. 631	68, 401
TEXAS—"Use" or "consumption" feature of cigarette sales tax held invalid, <i>Sheppard et al. v. Musser</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 222; reversed 92 S. W. (2d) 219; motion to dismiss appeal granted for want of a final judgment, 57 S. Ct. 171	186, 208, 283
WASHINGTON—"Compensating tax" law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 4) held constitutional by state court, <i>Vancouver Oil Company v. Henneford et al.</i> , 183 Wash. 317, 49 P. (2d) 14	44
"Compensating tax" law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 4) held unconstitutional by U. S. District Court, (15 F. Supp. 958), and constitutional by U. S. Supreme Court, <i>Henneford et al. v. Silas Mason Company, Inc. et al.</i> , 57 S. Ct. 524	258, 401
CHAIN STORE TAXES	
FLORIDA—Chain Store Tax held unconstitutional in part, <i>Lane Drug Stores, Inc. et al. v. Lee</i> , 11 Fed. Supp. 672; <i>State ex rel. Lane Drug Stores, Inc. v. Simpson</i> , 166 So. 227; <i>State ex rel. Adams et al. v. Lee</i> , 166 So. 249; 166 So. 262; writs of certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 15; 179 So. 887	87
IOWA—Gross receipts tax provisions of Chain Store Tax Act of 1935 held unconstitutional, <i>Valentine v. The Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Co.</i> , 12 F. Supp. 760; 57 S. Ct. 56	110, 280
LOUISIANA—Chain store tax—the chain store tax law (Act 51 of 1934) held valid, <i>The Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Co. v. Grosjean et al.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 499; affirmed 57 S. Ct. 772; petition for rehearing denied, 58 S. Ct. 3	256, 425
MICHIGAN—Chain store tax—dismissal of appeal in <i>C. F. Smith Co. et al. v. Fitzgerald et al.</i> , 259 N. W. 352, by U. S. Supreme Court, noted, 56 S. Ct. 115	43
SOUTH DAKOTA—Chapter 204, Laws of 1935, providing for a chain store tax, held invalid as not properly enacted, <i>Barnsdall Refining Corporation et al. v. Welsh et al.</i> , 269 N. W. 853	305
WISCONSIN—Emergency occupational tax on chain stores (Section 76.75, Wis. Stats. 1933) held unconstitutional, <i>Ed. Schuster & Co., Inc. v. Henry, State Treasurer; Wadhams Oil Co. v. Henry, State Treasurer</i> , 261 N. W. 20; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 148	66
OCCUPATION LICENSE TAXES	
DELAWARE—Corporation making sales in interstate commerce held not subject to occupational license tax, <i>Wilmingon Dry Goods Co. v. National Automatic Machine Co.</i> , 190 A. 735	415

	Page
ILLINOIS—Municipal ordinance requiring licensing of vehicles delivering food upheld, <i>Jewel Tea Co. Inc. v. City of Troy, Illinois, et al.</i> , 80 F. (2d) 366	183
State statute, regulating local business, which involved interstate activities, held valid, <i>Hartford Acc. & Indemnity Co. v. People ex rel. McLaughlin</i> , 56 S. Ct. 685	206
PENNSYLVANIA—Mercantile license tax—a co-operative association making sales to non-members is subject to this tax, <i>Appeal of Beaver County Co-operative Assn.</i> , 180 A. 98	65
WASHINGTON—Business and occupation tax law (L. 1933, Ch. 191) held properly imposed on corporation operating in national park, <i>Ranier Nat. Park Co. v. Henneford</i> , 45 P. (2d) 617; writ of certiorari denied by U. S. Sup. Ct., Dec. 9, 1935, 56 S. Ct. 307	20
Business tax on radio broadcasting, based on entire gross income (L. 1933, Ch. 191, Sec. 2) held unconstitutional, 56 S. Ct. 608	236
Business or occupation tax held applicable to a non-stock corporation, <i>Peninsula Light Co. v. Tax Commission of Washington et al.</i> , 56 P. (2d) 720	259
Business or occupation tax held applicable to a comparatively inactive foreign real estate and lumber company, <i>Milwaukee Land Company v. State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 996	327

STATE CAPITAL STOCK TAX

PENNSYLVANIA—Foreign corporation financing purchase and sale of refrigerators held doing business, <i>Refrigeration Discount Corp. v. Metzger</i> , 10 F. Supp. 748	19
Capital of a manufacturing company represented by large reserves of liquid assets and by a self insurance fund, held employed in manufacturing, <i>Commonwealth v. The Curtis Publishing Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 13, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162324; affirmed 192 A. 875	256
Automobile finance corporation's assignments of installment paper in trust to banks as collateral to loans held property included in basis of tax, <i>Commonwealth v. Automobile Banking Corp.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 23, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162678	257
Making of flavoring extracts held to be "manufacturing" for purposes of tax, <i>Commonwealth v. J. Frank and Sons, Inc.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Common Pleas, July 22, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162534	257
Dwelling houses owned by a manufacturing company, occupied by its employees, held not exclusively employed in manufacturing, <i>Commonwealth v. Keasbey & Mattison Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, September 14, 1936, CCH CDR No. 163845	304

CORPORATE LOANS TAX

PENNSYLVANIA—Non-resident treasurer of a foreign corporation, with principal office in Pennsylvania, held not required to collect corporate loans tax on interest on bonded indebtedness paid in another state, <i>Commonwealth v. Hershey Creamery Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, March 9, 1936, CCH CDR No. 154015	185
--	-----

STAMP TAXES

FEDERAL—But one taxable transfer results upon a transfer of stock from a seller to the purchaser's nominee, where the nominee secures no beneficial interest, <i>National Bond & Share Corp. v. James J. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue</i> , 14 F. Supp. 787	209
--	-----

	Page
Stamp tax due where stock is issued, at instance of one entitled to receive it, in name of nominee who receives no beneficial interest, <i>Founders General Corp. v. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue</i> , 57 S. Ct. 457, 512	374
NEW YORK—The Stock Transfer law as amended in 1933 is held constitutional as applied to the transfer of par value shares, <i>Vaughan and Co. v. State</i> , 272 N. Y. 102, 5 N. E. (2d) 53; appeal dismissed for want of a substantial Federal question, 57 S. Ct. 510	304, 378
Stock transfer tax does not apply to a transfer occurring by operation of law where shares owned by a company consolidating with another corporation become vested in the new corporate entity created out of the component organization, <i>Electric Bond & Share Co. v. State</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 175	400
OTHER TAXES	
CALIFORNIA—Right to exemption—Transportation License Tax Act, <i>Boessow v. Johnson, State Treasurer</i> , 52 P. (2d) 505	182
FEDERAL—Federal Processing Tax held invalid, <i>United States of America v. Butler et al., Receiver of Hoosac Mills Corp.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 312, 438	112
Federal Processing Tax—impounded taxes held recoverable, <i>Rickert Rice Mills, Inc. v. Fontenot</i> , 56 S. Ct. 374, 438, 439, 836	137
MICHIGAN—Mortgage tax—this tax held to apply to mortgages held by a foreign insurance company, <i>Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. v. Stoll, Register of Deeds</i> , 268 N. W. 763	281
NEW MEXICO—Motor fuel distributors' license—interstate motor carrier held not subject to license fees as a distributor of motor fuel, <i>Bingman, Commissioner of Revenue, et al. v. Golden Eagle Western Lines, Inc.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 624	184
NEW YORK CITY—New York City Gross Receipts tax held not applicable to a domestic corporation engaged in foreign commerce, <i>Gdynia American Line, Inc. v. Taylor et al.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 613	400
WISCONSIN—Privilege dividend tax held constitutional, <i>State ex rel. Froedtert Grain & Malting Co., Inc. v. Tax Commission of Wisconsin</i> , 265 N. W. 672; rehearing denied, 267 N. W. 52	162, 208
VOTING:	
DELAWARE—Right of administrator to vote stock standing in his name where certificates, endorsed by him, had been delivered to distributees, <i>In the Matter of Canal Construction Co.</i> , 182 A. 545	126
NEW JERSEY—By-law providing for cumulative voting ineffectual where charter does not authorize such voting, <i>In re Brophy et al.</i> , 179 A. 128	9
VOTING TRUST:	
MASSACHUSETTS—Cost of setting up voting trust, <i>Sagalyn et al. v. Meekins, Packard and Wheat, Inc., et al.</i> , 195 N. E. 769	32
SOUTH CAROLINA—A voting trust is held not to be against public policy, <i>Alderman et al. v. Alderman et al.</i> , 181 S. E. 897	105

PART II

This PART consists of a re-arrangement of the digests contained in PART I. They are here grouped according to the states in which the decisions were rendered.

	Page
ALABAMA:	
DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—Tortious act of foreign parent company's agent—liability of domestic subsidiary, <i>Lerner Shops of Alabama v. Riddle</i> , 264 So. 385	129
DOING BUSINESS—Sale of marble, involving installation by seller, held doing business, <i>Times Building Co. for use of Gray-Knox Marble Co. v. Cline et al.</i> , CCH CDR No. 172493	398
Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>State v. Southern Natural Gas Corporation</i> , 170 So. 178, 57 S. Ct. 696	232, 422
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Valid although made on foreign corporation which had discontinued business, <i>Parker v. Central of Georgia Railway Company</i> , 170 So. 333	346
STOCKHOLDERS—Right of preferred stockholders to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ashwander et al. v. Tennessee Valley Authority et al.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 466, 588	150
TAXATION—Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>Wisconsin Coosa Company v. State of Alabama</i> , 165 So. 838	153
Foreign corporation doing business—liability to franchise tax, <i>State v. Southern Natural Gas Corporation</i> , 170 So. 178, 57 S. Ct. 696	232, 422
Income tax held constitutional, <i>State v. Weil</i> , 168 So. 679	232
ALBERTA:	
DIRECTORS—Right of director to sue his fellow-directors on their statutory liability for unpaid wages of employe, <i>Mulligan v. Lancaster et al.</i> , (1937) 1 D.L.R. 414	366
ARIZONA:	
DISSOLUTION—Distributive share dividend, upon dissolution, of stockholders who cannot be found, becomes property of state, <i>Matter of Hull Copper Company</i> , 50 P. (2d) 560	78
DIVIDENDS—Distributive share dividend, upon dissolution, of stockholders who cannot be found, becomes property of state, <i>Matter of Hull Copper Company</i> , 50 P. (2d) 560	78
FOREIGN CORPORATIONS—Courts will not interfere in the internal management of affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Van Denburgh v. Tungsten Reef Mines Co. et al.</i> , 63 P. (2d) 647	369
ARKANSAS:	
DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—Corporation a successor of another's business licenses not subject to judgment against the latter, <i>Hammett et al. v. Motor Express, Inc.</i> , 87 S. W. (2d) 19	136
DOING BUSINESS—Mere collection of debt is not doing business, <i>Moran v. Union Savings Bank & Trust Company</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 638	297
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY—Statutory provision substituting special rule as to corporate employers' liability, in lieu of common law "fellow-servant" rule remaining applicable to individual employers, held not discriminatory, <i>Phillips Petroleum Co. et al. v. Jenkins</i> , 56 S. Ct. 611, 745	201

	Page
BRITISH COLUMBIA:	
DISSOLUTION—Assets of dissolved corporation, reverting to Crown, held recoverable upon reinstatement of corporation, <i>A.-G. B. C. v. Royal Bk. et al.</i> , (1937) 1 D.L.R. 637; affirmed (1937) 3 D.L.R. 393.	390
STOCKHOLDERS—Stockholder permitted to institute derivative action, although proceeding by another stockholder, individually, for same cause of action, had been dismissed, <i>Lloyd-Owen v. Bull</i> , (1936) 4 D.L.R. 273.	318
CALIFORNIA:	
AMENDMENTS—Right of corporation to effect changes in its capital structure varying plaintiff's stock ownership and voting rights upheld, <i>Heller Investment Company v. Southern Title & Trust Co. et al.</i> , 61 P. (2d) 807.	318
DIRECTORS—Use of position for personal advantage—review by court, <i>Schwab et al. v. Schwab-Wilson Machinery Corp. Ltd.</i> , et al., 55 P. (2d) 1268.	198
Validity of acts of majority of original five, where board increased to nine but no additional directors were elected, <i>Robinson et al. v. Hartman, et al.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1310.	246
DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—Attachment proceedings, <i>Grotheer et al. v. Meyer Rosenberg, Inc., et al.</i> , 53 P. (2d) 996.	174
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Powers of trial court, <i>Transbay Construction Co. v. The Superior Court et al.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 1237.	198
NAME—Secretary of State upheld in refusal to accept incorporation papers because of similarity of name, <i>Cranford v. Jordan, Secretary of State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 45.	319
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Where tort, unconnected with California business, is committed in another state, service may not be made on California statutory agent, <i>Miner v. United Air Lines Transport Corporation</i> , 16 F. Supp. 930.	370
STOCK—Conflicting rights of pledgee and purchaser at execution sale, <i>Clark v. Western Feeding Co. et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 991.	150
Sufficiency of use of initials in endorsement, <i>Clark v. Western Feeding Co. et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 991.	150
Statutory right to levy assessment—law in force at time of levy governs, <i>Schroeter, as Trustee, etc., v. Bartlett Syndicate Building Corporation</i> , 63 P. (2d) 824.	366
STOCKHOLDERS—Issuance of "beneficial certificates"—held not creation of bonded indebtedness so as to require stockholders' action, <i>Hammond Lumber Co. v. Adams et al.</i> , 59 P. (2d) 1030.	246
STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY—Debts of corporation, <i>Kayseer v. McNaughton et al.</i> , 46 P. (2d) 217; reversed 57 P. (2d) 927.	30, 222
Debts of corporation, <i>Bank of California, N. A. v. Craddock-Terry Co.</i> , 83 Fed. (2d) 819.	222
Debts of corporation, <i>Title Insurance & Trust Company v. Torrance et al.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 1123.	126
Ultra vires acts, <i>Southern Glass Co. v. Dairy Service Co., Ltd.</i> , et al., 54 P. (2d) 50.	174
STOCKHOLDERS' MEETING—Officers ordered by court to call meeting, <i>Dedrick et al. v. California Whaling Co. et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 551.	246
TAXATION—Franchise tax—inclusion of interstate and foreign commerce receipts as measure of tax, <i>Matson Navigation Co. et al. v. State Board of Equalization</i> , 43 P. (2d) 805; affirmed, 56 S. Ct. 553; petition for rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 666.	62, 155

	Page
Franchise tax—Effect on contracts of suspension of powers for failure to pay tax, <i>Depner v. Joseph Zukin Blouses et al.</i> , 56 P. (2d) 574	222
Franchise tax—When amendment increasing rate becomes effective, <i>Filoli, Inc. v. Johnson</i> , 51 P. (2d) 1093	134
Retail Sales Tax held constitutional, <i>Roth Drugs Inc., et al. v. Johnson</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1022	232
Retail Sales Tax repeal initiative measure ordered not submitted, <i>Clark v. Jordan</i> , 60 P. (2d) 457	255
Retail Sales Tax applicable to property sold and delivered in California for shipment by purchaser out of state, <i>Standard Oil Company of California v. Johnson</i> , State Treasurer, CCH CDR No. 168599	352
Right to exemption—Transportation License Tax Act, <i>Boessow v. Johnson</i> , State Treasurer, 52 P. (2d) 505	182
CANADA:	
DIRECTORS—Liability for corporation's unpaid sales tax where corporation loaned money to them, <i>The King v. Kussner</i> , (1936) 4 DLR 752	342
COLORADO:	
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Held valid where made upon unqualified foreign insurance company soliciting business by radio, <i>Union Mutual Life Co. of Iowa v. District Court of City and County of Denver, et al.</i> , 47 P. (2d) 401	57
Service of process on foreign corporation in home state insufficient to permit entry of personal judgment against it in Colorado, <i>People ex rel. Edinburg State Bank & Trust Co. v. District Court of Routt County et al.</i> , 50 P. (2d) 789	106
STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY—Stockholder of common stock received as a bonus upon purchase of preferred stock, held liable to extent of par value of bonus stock, <i>Frink v. Carman Distributing Co.</i> , 48 P. (2d) 805	79
Order enforcing stockholders' liability, entered in Minnesota court, held enforceable in Colorado court against Colorado resident, <i>Chandler, Receiver of Diamond Motor Parts Co. v. Peketz</i> , 56 S. Ct. 602; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 746	236
DELAWARE:	
ACTIONS—Conditions required to enable a stockholder to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ainscow v. Sanitary Company of America</i> , 180 A. 614	54
AMENDMENTS—Right to accrued dividends held a vested right which may not be destroyed by amendment as to dividends accrued up to time of adoption of amendment, <i>Keller et al. v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 180 A. 584; reversed 190 A. 115; reargument denied, Feb. 19, 1937	6, 270, 337
Common stock without par value, issued under amendment in exchange for Class A stock, stands on the same footing as other common stock previously issued, although underlying value of Class A stock may have been greater, <i>Saperstein v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 182 A. 18	7, 102

	Page
CONSOLIDATION—New law cited (L. 1935, H. B. No. 234).....	1
CONTRACTS—President of corporation ordinarily has no implied authority to bind it by a contract of guaranty in which it has no interest, <i>Atlantic Refining Co. v. Ingalls & Co., Inc.</i> , 185 A. 885.....	247
DIRECTORS—Michigan court holds director of Delaware corporation not liable in connection with a Declaration of dividends under Delaware law, <i>Stratton et al., Trustees in Bankruptcy of Clarence Saunders Stores, Inc. v. Anderson</i> , 270 N. W. 764.....	342
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 35 of Delaware General Corporation Law as to liability of directors for declaration of dividends, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Company v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53.....	414
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY—Delaware subsidiary of an Ohio corporation held not to be responsible for acts of parent company where acting as an independent dealer, <i>McLean v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Inc.</i> , 85 F. (2d) 150; certiorari denied 57 S. Ct. 193.....	247, 283
DIVIDENDS—Right to accrued dividends held a vested right which may not be destroyed by amendment as to dividends accrued up to time of adoption of amendment, <i>Keller et al. v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 180 A. 584; reversed 190 A. 115; reargument denied, Feb. 19, 1937.....	6, 270, 337
Minutes showing declaration of dividends are not "instruments of writing for the payment of money," <i>Seely v. Fleming Coal Co.</i> , 180 A. 326.....	102
Michigan court holds director of Delaware corporation not liable in connection with declaration of dividends under Delaware law, <i>Stratton et al., Trustees in Bankruptcy of Clarence Saunders Stores, Inc. v. Anderson</i> , 270 N. W. 764.....	342
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 35 of Delaware General Corporation Law as to liability of directors for declaration of dividends, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Company v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53.....	414
DOING BUSINESS—Claim by New York State for franchise and license taxes disallowed in proceeding in Delaware court on ground that corporation was not "doing business" in New York, <i>Elsner v. United American Utilities, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 589.....	86
Corporation making sales in interstate commerce held not subject to occupational license tax, <i>Wilmington Dry Goods Co. v. National Automatic Machine Co.</i> , 190 A. 735.....	415
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Court of Chancery will deny petition to inspect books where inspection is not pertinent to subject matter before court, <i>Parrish v. Commonwealth Trust Co. and Petroleum Properties, Inc.</i> , 181 A. 658.....	80
MERGER—New law cited (L. 1935, H. B. No. 324).....	1
Where there is a merger of Delaware corporations, and Delaware corporation is the surviving corporation, there is allowed against the tax due upon such merger a deduction to the extent of the tax computed upon the aggregate of the authorized capital stock of all the constituent corporations, <i>State v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation</i> , 184 A. 873.....	222
STOCK—Dispute over possession of stock certificate, <i>Delaware-New Jersey Ferry Co. v. Leeds et al.</i> , 186 A. 913.....	271

	Page
Common stock without par value issued under amendment in exchange for Class A stock, stands on same footing as other common stock previously issued, although underlying value of the Class A stock may have been greater, <i>Saperstein v. Wilson & Co., Inc.</i> , 182 A. 18.	102
Right of administrator to vote stock standing in his name where certificates, endorsed by him, had been delivered to distributees, <i>In the Matter of Canal Construction Co.</i> , 182 A. 545.	126
Common stock is not a "special" stock which is subject to redemption under Section 27, General Corporation Law, <i>Starring, Jr., v. American Hair & Felt Co.</i> , 191 A. 887.	390
STOCKHOLDERS—Stockholders have no power to ratify a fraud committed against their corporation, <i>Eshleman et al. v. Keenan et al.</i> , 187 A. 25.	223
Conditions required to enable a stockholder to maintain suit on behalf of corporation, <i>Ainscow v. Sanitary Company of America</i> , 180 A. 614.	54
TAXATION—Franchise tax, not due at time of appointment of trustee, held not provable as a claim under Section 64a, Bankruptcy Act, <i>In re International Match Corporation</i> , 79 F. (2d) 203.	83
Franchise tax—claim by New York State for franchise and license taxes disallowed in proceeding in Delaware court on ground that corporation was not "doing business" in New York, <i>Elsner v. United American Utilities, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 589.	86
Franchise tax—Wisconsin court holds Delaware franchise taxes arising during receivership to be valid claims, <i>State of Delaware v. Gray, Receiver</i> , 267 N. W. 310.	260
Tax due upon merger—where there is a merger of Delaware corporations, and a Delaware corporation is the surviving corporation, there is allowed against the tax due upon such merger a deduction to the extent of the tax computed upon the aggregate of the authorized capital stock of all the constituent corporations, <i>State v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation</i> , 184 A. 873.	222
Occupational license tax—corporation making sales in interstate commerce held not subject to occupational license tax, <i>Wilmington Dry Goods Co. v. National Automatic Machine Co.</i> , 190 A. 735.	415
VOTING—Right of administrator to vote stock standing in his name where certificates, endorsed by him, had been delivered to distributees, <i>In the Matter of Canal Construction Co.</i> , 182 A. 545.	126

FEDERAL:

TAXATION—Nature of Federal Income Tax, <i>Boston & Maine Railroad v. Wilton Railroad Company</i> , 181 A. 545.	135
Profit on sale of property sold and delivered in Mexico is income from sources without the United States, despite collection of price in the United States, <i>Helvering, Commissioner of Internal Revenue v. East Coast Oil Co.</i> , S. A. 85 F. (2d) 322; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 234.	326
Forfeited payments under capital stock subscription agreements represent capital and are not taxable income, <i>Realty Bond & Mortgage Co. v. United States</i> , 16 F. Supp. 771.	327
Stamp Tax—But one taxable transfer results upon a transfer of stock from a seller to the purchaser's nominee, where the nominee secures no beneficial interest, <i>National Bond & Share Corp. v. James J. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue</i> , 14 F. Supp. 787.	209

	Page
Stamp Tax—due where stock is issued, at instance of one entitled to receive it, in name of nominee who receives no beneficial interest, <i>Founders General Corp. v. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue</i> , 57 S. Ct. 457, 512	374
Federal Processing Tax held invalid, <i>United States of America v. Butler et al., Receiver of Hoosac Mills Corp.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 312, 438	112
Federal Processing Tax—impounded taxes held recoverable, <i>Rickert Rice Mills, Inc. v. Fontenot</i> , 56 S. Ct. 374, 438, 439, 836	137
Property Taxes—Federal trustees under section 77B held required to pay city taxes upon property in their custody, <i>In re Preble Corporation</i> , 15 F. Supp. 775	279
FLORIDA:	
ACTIONS—Unlicensed foreign corporation may recover property; interstate commerce, <i>Mergenthaler Linotype Co. v. Gore</i> , 160 So. 481	39
Statute barring unqualified foreign corporations from maintaining action construed to permit recovery where corporation qualified before final decree, <i>Burton v. Oliver Farm Equipment Sales Company</i> , 160 So. 468	82
Defense of usury by corporation organized prior to General Corporation Act, <i>Matlack Properties, Inc. v. Citizens & Southern Nat. Bank</i> , 162 So. 148	30
DOING BUSINESS—Shipments by foreign corporation to its agent within state, followed by sale of goods there, held doing business, <i>Reliance Fertilizer Co. v. Davis</i> , 169 So. 579	254
STOCKHOLDERS—Actual possession of stock certificates held not necessary to enforce plaintiff's rights in equity, where defendants improperly refuse to issue certificates, <i>Mills Development Corp. v. Shipp & Head, Inc.</i> , 171 So. 533	390
TAXATION—Chain Store Tax held unconstitutional in part, <i>Lane Drug Stores, Inc. et al. v. Lee</i> , 11 Fed. Supp. 672; <i>State ex rel. Lane Drug Stores, Inc. v. Simpson</i> , 166 So. 227; <i>State ex rel. Adams et al. v. Lee</i> , 166 So. 249; 166 So. 262; 57 S. Ct. 15; 179 So. 887	87
GEORGIA:	
DOING BUSINESS—Income tax law of 1929 held not to apply to income from sale of products of Georgia mines sold in other states, <i>State Revenue Commission et al. v. Edgar Brothers Co.</i> , 190 S. E. 623	423
NAME—Corporation doing business in a trade name held subject to provisions of Trade-Name Registration Act, <i>Constitution Publishing Company v. Lyon</i> , 183 S. E. 653	199
Right to use of corporate name held not an exclusive right as against others who might adopt a somewhat similar name, <i>National Brand Stores, Inc. v. Muse & Associates et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 84	248
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Service of garnishment on resident agent of foreign corporation held valid, <i>Jewel Tea Co. v. Patillo et al.</i> , 178 S. E. 925	10
STOCK—Individual stockholder may not recover for depreciation in value of stock, where suit is brought against corporation, stockholders and others alleged to have conspired to depreciate value of stock, <i>Kimbrough v. Gainesville Mather Co. et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 169	248
STOCKHOLDERS—Individual stockholder may not recover for depreciation in value of stock, where suit is brought against corporation, stockholders and others alleged to have conspired to depreciate value of stock, <i>Kimbrough v. Gainesville Mather Co. et al.</i> , 187 S. E. 169	248

The references are to pages.

	Page
TAXATION—Income tax law of 1929 held not to apply to income from sale of products of Georgia mines sold in other states, <i>State Revenue Commission et al. v. Edgar Brothers Co.</i> , 190 S. E. 623.	423
IDAHO:	
DOING BUSINESS—Investment in mortgages held doing business, <i>John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Girard et al.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 254.	254, 275, 346
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Inspection allowed although corporation maintained no office in state, <i>White v. Coeur d'Alene Big Creek Mining Co.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 270.	199
TAXATION—Retail Sales Tax law held constitutional, except certain sections, <i>Johnson v. Diefendorf, Commissioner of Finance</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1068.	233
ILLINOIS:	
ACTIONS—Corporation dissolved for failure to file reports and pay taxes held to be without right to sue for recovery of its funds held in escrow, <i>Billiard Table Mfg. Corp. v. First-Tyler Bank & Trust Co.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 990.	367
CHARTER—Forfeiture of charter for failure to comply with Motor Fuel Tax Act, <i>People ex rel. Kerner v. Blue Rose Oil Co.</i> , 196 N. E. 456; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 121.	31
DISSOLUTION—Corporation dissolved for failure to file reports and pay taxes held to be without right to sue for recovery of its funds held in escrow, <i>Billiard Table Mfg. Corp. v. First-Tyler Bank & Trust Co.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 990.	367
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Mandamus allowed to inspect books of foreign corporation with principal office in state, <i>Wise v. H. M. Byllesby & Co. et al.</i> , 1 N. E. (2d) 536.	227
STOCK—Stock subscription agreement enforced, <i>In re Gillham's Estate</i> , 3 N. E. (2d) 524.	239
TAXATION—Intangibles of a foreign corporation held not taxable in Illinois, <i>Wheelock Lovejoy & Co., Inc. v. Gill</i> , 9 N. E. (2d) 58.	374
Municipal ordinance requiring licensing of vehicles delivering food upheld, <i>Jewel Tea Co., Inc. v. City of Troy, Illinois, et al.</i> , 80 F. (2d) 366.	183
State statute, regulating local business which involved interstate activities, held valid, <i>Hartford Acc. & Indemnity Co. v. People ex rel. McLaughlin</i> , 56 S. Ct. 685.	206
Franchise tax—failure of Secretary of State to mail franchise tax notices does not affect liability of corporation to payment of the taxes, <i>The Citizens Water Works, Inc. v. Hughes, Secretary of State, et al.</i> , 199 N. E. 265.	158
INDIANA:	
ACTIONS—Fact that plaintiff, an unlicensed foreign corporation, had reached a condition, in liquidation, where it could not qualify, held an effective defense, <i>Barnett et al. v. Central Republic Bank & Trust Co. et al.</i> , 196 N. E. 369.	10
Validity of judgment obtained in Indiana through service of process on Secretary of State where corporation received no notice of suit, as viewed by the Michigan Supreme Court, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Co.</i> , 271 N. W. 712; writ of certiorari denied, U. S. Supreme Court, 58 S. Ct. 15.	398

	Page
CONSOLIDATION—Action involving fixing of value of stock of dissenting stockholders under a consolidation, <i>Republic Finance & Investment Co. et al. v. Fenstermaker et al.</i> , 6 N. E. (2d) 541.....	391
DOING BUSINESS—Agent soliciting orders, which he personally filled from stock supplied to him over State lines, held to be doing intrastate business, <i>Town of Sellsburg v. Stanforth</i> , 198 N. E. 437..	107
RECEIVERS—Evidence required to warrant a finding that a corporation is insolvent, <i>Merriman & Wasson Co. Inc. v. Eagle Pencil Co.</i> , 199 N. E. 243	151
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Validity of judgment obtained in Indiana through service of process on Secretary of State where corporation received no notice of suit, as viewed by the Michigan Supreme Court, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Co.</i> , 271 N. W. 712; writ of certiorari denied, U. S. Supreme Court, 58 S. Ct. 15.....	398
STOCK—Action involving fixing of value of stock of dissenting stockholders under a consolidation, <i>Republic Finance & Investment Co. et al. v. Fenstermaker et al.</i> , 6 N. E. (2d) 541.....	391
TAXATION—Additional admission fee held due from a foreign corporation where capital stock represented in state was increased, <i>State of Indiana v. Siosi Oil Corp.</i> , 199 N. E. 232	159
Gross income tax law held constitutional by the Indiana Supreme Court as applied to the gross income of an Indiana corporation derived from business conducted in interstate and foreign commerce, <i>Storen et al. v. J. D. Adams Mfg. Co.</i> , 7 N. E. (2d) 941.....	424
 IOWA:	
DOING BUSINESS—Iowa insurance company held not doing business under Missouri statutes so as to render default judgment obtained in a Missouri court enforceable in Iowa, <i>Saunders v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Assn.</i> , CCH CDR No. 168786; 270 N. W. 407.....	371
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Occasional orders, accepted at home office of foreign corporation in another state, held not doing business so as to subject it to service of process, <i>Dorsey v. Anderson et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 463	347
STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY—Stockholders acting in good faith, held not liable to creditors for dividends paid when corporation was solvent, although capital stock was impaired, <i>Bates, Superintendent, etc. v. Brooks, et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 867	391
TAXATION—Gross receipts tax provisions of Chain Store Tax Act of 1935 held unconstitutional, <i>Valentine v. The Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Co.</i> , 12 F. Supp. 760; 57 S. Ct. 56	110, 280
 KANSAS:	
CONTRACTS—Company incorporated to continue partnership business, held by course of conduct to have adopted partnership contract provisions for salary paid partners, <i>Stowell v. Garden City News Corp.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 12	249
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY—Where a bankrupt had directed all the policies of a corporation for many years, <i>Adams as Adm'r. etc. v. Morgan et al.</i> , 52 P. (2d) 643	126
STOCK—That entire authorized capital stock had not been subscribed held not a valid defense in suit on unpaid subscription, <i>Norton v. Lamb</i> , 62 P. (2d) 1311.....	392

	Page
KENTUCKY:	
ACTIONS—Unqualified corporation may maintain an action in the state courts arising out of contract or tort, <i>Sayers & Muir Service Station v. Indiana Refining Co.</i> , 100 S. W. (2d) 687.....	323
AMENDMENTS—Vote required for amendment increasing or decreasing capital stock, <i>Haggard v. Lexington Utilities Co. et al.</i> , 84 S. W. (2d) 84.....	54
CONTRACTS—Acceptance by corporation of benefits under one of two related pre-incorporation promoter's contracts, held to charge it with liabilities under the other, <i>Lowther v. Blair Distilling Co.</i> , 99 S. W. (2d) 204.....	367
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Collection of data by an unlicensed foreign corporation for defense of action held not to subject it to service of process, <i>Walker v. Ritter-Burns Lumber Co.</i> , 10 F. Supp. 804.....	11
STOCK—Purchase of its own stock by corporation for purposes of retirement, <i>Germann et al. v. Farmers Tobacco Ware House Co.</i> , 84 S. W. (2d) 82.....	32
TAXATION—Organization tax on capital stock; several increases after reductions, <i>Talbott v. Louisville Trust Co.</i> , 82 S. W. (2d) 219.....	17
Recovery of taxes paid under the Gross Sales Tax Act of 1930, <i>Talbott et al. v. United Supply Company, Inc.</i> , 91 S. W. (2d) 1002; <i>Hurry Up Broadway Company v. Shannon</i> , 102 S. W. (2d) 30....	159, 399
LOUISIANA:	
ACTIONS—Maintenance of suit against a foreign corporation in Louisiana barred where cause of action did not arise in state, <i>Staley-Wynne Oil Corp. v. Loring Oil Co.</i> , 162 So. 756.....	57
DIVIDENDS—Payment of dividend should be made to all stockholders at the same time, <i>Tichenor v. Dr. G. H. Tichenor Company, Limited</i> , 164 So. 275.....	127
DOING BUSINESS—Right to use advertising matter may be subject of sale in interstate commerce; seller held relieved from necessity to register as a foreign corporation, <i>Norm Advertising, Inc. v. Parker</i> , 172 So. 586.....	416
STOCK—Subscriber to corporate stock becomes owner of shares upon payment; furnishing of funds by another does not affect subscriber's title to the stock, <i>McWilliams et al. v. Geddes & Moss Undertaking & Embalming Co., Limited, et al.</i> , 169 So. 894.....	342
STOCKHOLDERS—Contention of defect in title of Act 250 of 1928, requiring supplying by corporation of verified annual report to stockholder, upon demand, overruled, <i>Dr. G. H. Tichenor, Jr., v. Rolla A. Tichenor, Sr.</i> , 167 So. 427.....	199
TAXATION—Franchise tax—inclusion of borrowed capital in basis, <i>State v. Xeter Realty, Limited</i> , 162 So. 29.....	17
Franchise tax—longtime indebtedness held "borrowed capital" required to be included in basis of franchise tax, <i>State of Louisiana v. Banana Selling Company, Inc.</i> , 170 So. 30.....	233
Franchise tax—this tax is applicable to subsidiaries of insurance companies; indebtedness which is assumed is "borrowed capital" for purposes of franchise tax, <i>State v. Union Building Corporation</i> , 170 So. 7; appeal dismissed 57 S. Ct. 233.....	302
Franchise tax—operating losses are not deductible in computing the franchise tax, <i>State of Louisiana v. Bisso Realty & Investment Co., Inc.</i> , 167 So. 87.....	160

	Page
Chain store tax—the chain store tax law (Act 51 of 1934) held valid, <i>Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Grosjean et al.</i> , 16 F. Supp. 499; affirmed, 57 S. Ct. 772; petition for rehearing denied, 58 S. Ct. 3	256, 425
MAINE:	
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Service made upon an agent of defendant corporation's agent, held invalid, <i>Berman v. Affiliated Enterprise, Inc. et al.</i> 17 F. Supp. 305	347
MARYLAND:	
CONSOLIDATION—New law cited (L. 1935, Ch. 551)	1
MERGER—New law cited (L. 1935, Ch. 551)	1
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Service of process after dissolution of corporation held effective, <i>Acton v. Washington Times Company</i> , 15 F. Supp. 257	130
Corporation held subject to service of process in state where substantially all of its business activities are carried on, <i>Wolman et al. v. State Founders, Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., Dist. of Md., Jan. 17, 1936, CCH CDR No. 150219	153
STOCK—Action involving stock appraisal carried to the Maryland Court of Appeals, <i>American General Corporation v. Camp et al.</i> , 190 A. 225	392
TAXATION—Gross receipts tax held constitutional, <i>Jones et al. v. Gordy, Comptroller; Lechert v. Gordy, Comptroller</i> , 180 A. 272	63
Taxation of shares of stock in a national bank when owned by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, <i>Baltimore National Bank v. State Tax Commission of Maryland</i> , 56 S. Ct. 417. (See also Public Act No. 482, signed by the President subsequent to this decision.)	160, 184
Temporary Special Franchise Tax for the year 1936 noted	183
MASSACHUSETTS:	
OFFICERS' SALARIES—Salaries; cost of setting up voting trust, <i>Sagalyn et al. v. Meekins, Packard and Wheat, Inc., et al.</i> , 195 N. E. 769	32
POWERS—Authority of parent company to guarantee obligations of subsidiary upheld, <i>In re Duncan & Goodell Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 550	250
SERVICE OF PROCESS—On foreign corporation maintaining bank accounts, holding directors' meetings, signing contracts and directing other activities in state, held valid, <i>Trojan Engineering Corp. v. Green Mountain Power Corp.</i> , 200 N. E. 117	177
TAXATION—Foreign corporation, with its principal office at Boston, not engaged exclusively in interstate commerce, held subject to the corporate excise tax, <i>Atlantic Lumber Co. v. Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation</i> , 197 N. E. 525; 56 S. Ct. 887	42, 234
Corporate excise tax—allowance as deduction of real estate held in names of nominees, <i>Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation v. Thayer, Bradley Co.</i> , 197 N. E. 47	18
VOTING TRUST—Cost of setting up voting trust, <i>Sagalyn et al. v. Meekins, Packard and Wheat, Inc., et al.</i> , 195 N. E. 769	32
MICHIGAN:	
ACTIONS—Corporation failing to file annual report cannot maintain suit, <i>Meldman Cartage Co. v. Freuhauf Trailer Co.</i> , 259 N. W. 905	7
Venue of suits against domestic corporation, <i>Orloff v. Morehead</i>	

	Page
<i>Mfg. Co.</i> , 262 N. W. 736	103
A corporation, whose charter has been forfeited, may sue on claims arising prior to forfeiture, when winding up its affairs, <i>Division Avenue Realty Co. v. McGough et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 328	250
AMENDMENTS—Postponement of stock redemption date by amendment held not binding upon stockholder not consenting to amendment, <i>Sutton v. Globe Knitting Works</i> , 267 N. W. 815	223
CHARTER—A corporation whose charter has been forfeited, may sue on claims arising prior to forfeiture, when winding up its affairs, <i>Division Avenue Realty Co. v. McGough et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 328	250
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY—Conditions required, <i>Gledhill et al. v. Fisher & Co., et al.</i> , 262 N. W. 371	55
Creditor of a corporation whose assets are taken over by another corporation under an agreement to assume the liabilities, may maintain an action at law against the company acquiring the debtor's assets, <i>Garry v. Kelvinator Corporation</i> , 271 N. W. 723	414
DOING BUSINESS—Furnishing plans and designs and supervision by foreign engineering corporation held interstate commerce and qualification unnecessary, <i>Bay City v. Fraser</i> , 77 F. (2d) 570	14
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Where a licensed foreign corporation neglects to maintain a statutory agent and service of process is made on the Secretary of State, the validity of a judgment based upon such service is not affected by failure of the corporation to receive notice of service from the Secretary of State, <i>Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Company</i> , 271 N. W. 712; certiorari denied, 58 S. Ct. 15	398
STOCK—Action by receiver on promissory note given in payment of shares of stock, <i>Abrin v. Equitable Trust Co., et al.</i> , 261 N. W. 85	7
STOCKHOLDER—Postponement of stock redemption date by amendment held not binding upon stockholder not consenting to amendment, <i>Sutton v. Globe Knitting Works</i> , 267 N. W. 815	223
TAXATION—Franchise tax—"paid-up capital," for the purpose of the privilege fee, is to be taken as of December 31, Appeal of Newton Packing Co., 271 N. W. 710	393
Sales tax—sales in interstate commerce held not subject to Michigan sales tax; sales tax paid on goods recaptured under conditional sales contract held not recoverable, <i>Montgomery Ward Co. v. Fry</i> , 269 N. W. 166	280
Sales tax—sales of men's clothing on order in interstate commerce held not subject to sales tax; later alterations regarded as incidents of delivery, <i>J. B. Simpson, Inc. v. O'Hara et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 809	280
Mortgage tax—this tax held to apply to mortgages held by a foreign insurance company, <i>Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. v. Stoll</i> , Register of Deeds, 268 N. W. 763	281
Chain store tax—dismissal of appeal in <i>C. F. Smith Co. et al. v. Fitzgerald et al.</i> , 259 N. W. 352, by U. S. Supreme Court, noted, 56 S. Ct. 115	43
MINNESOTA:	
AMENDMENTS—Effect, under Blue Sky Law, of amendment reducing par value of shares, <i>Mertz et al. v. H. D. Hudson Mfg. Co.</i> , 261 N. W. 472	8
DIRECTORS—Court will not compel declaration of dividend unless directors act "fraudulently, oppressively, unreasonably and unjustly," <i>Schmitt, Jr., trustee, et al. v. Eagle Roller Mill Company et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 277	415

	Page
DIVIDENDS—Court will not compel declaration of dividend unless directors act "fraudulently, oppressively, unreasonably and unjustly," <i>Schmitt, Jr., trustee, et al. v. Eagle Roller Mill Company et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 277	415
POWERS—Sale of entire assets of Minnesota corporation upheld, <i>Hill v. Page & Hill Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 705	271
Right of corporations to elect not to be bound by "Minnesota Business Corporation Act" of 1933, upheld, <i>Muller et al. v. Theo. Hamm Brewing Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. W. 204	224
STOCKHOLDERS' LIABILITY—Order enforcing stockholders' liability, entered in Minnesota court, held enforceable in Colorado court against Colorado resident, <i>Chandler, Receiver of Diamond Motor Parts Co. v. Peketz</i> , 56 S. Ct. 602; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 746	236
TAXATION—Capital stock tax—statute imposing Minnesota capital stock tax held repealed by later legislation, <i>Bemis Bros. Bag Co. v. Wallace et al. and S. H. Clausin & Co. v. Wallace et al.</i> , 266 N. W. 690	207
MISSISSIPPI:	
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY—Corporate entity not disregarded where two subsidiaries occupied the same offices and had practically the same officers and directors, <i>James B. Berry Sons' Co., Inc. v. Owens</i> , 169 So. 685	273
DISSOLUTION—Effect of dissolution in home state on status of foreign corporation in another state, <i>Rawlings v. American Oil Co.</i> , 161 So. 851	15
DOING BUSINESS—Collection of notes and foreclosure of deeds of trust held not doing business, <i>North American Mortgage Co. v. Hudson et al.</i> , 168 So. 79	230
Corporation acting as real estate broker in effecting sales held "doing business," <i>Marx & Bendorf, Inc. v. First Joint Stock Land Bank of New Orleans, Louisiana</i> , 173 So. 297	417
MISSOURI:	
DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—Stockholder of parent company, which completely dominated subsidiary, granted mandamus to inspect subsidiary's books, <i>State ex rel. United Brick & Tile Co. et al. v. Wright, Judge</i> , 95 S. W. (2d) 804	174
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Stockholder of parent company, which completely dominated subsidiary, granted mandamus to inspect subsidiary's books, <i>State ex rel. United Brick & Tile Co. et al. v. Wright, Judge</i> , 95 S. W. (2d) 804	174
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Corporation engaged in interstate commerce may become subject to process of local courts, <i>Meek v. New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad Co.</i> , 88 S. W. (2d) 333	131
Substituted service on foreign corporation whose only property in state was stock owned in a domestic corporation, held unauthorized, <i>State ex rel. Utilities Power & Light Corp. v. Ryan et al.</i> , <i>Judges</i> , 88 S. W. (2d) 157	177
STOCK—Equity may compel re-transfer where assignment of shares was induced by fraud, <i>Breneman v. The Laundry et al.</i> , 87 S. W. (2d) 429	151
TAXATION—Licensed foreign corporation, may, nevertheless, be engaged in interstate commerce and not subject to jurisdiction of state public service commission, <i>State ex rel. Cities Service Gas Co. v. Public Service Commission et al.</i> , 85 S. W. (2d) 890; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 382	83

	Page
Franchise tax held applicable to corporation engaged principally in interstate commerce, but which carried on activities in state subsequent to cessation of interstate movement of property, <i>State v. Phillips Pipe Line Co.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 109; appeal filed U. S. Sup. Ct., Feb. 5, 1937; continued to the October Term 1937, 57 S. Ct. 668; affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 53	303, 425
Deposits in New York bank, belonging to a Delaware company with its only office in Missouri, held taxable in Missouri, <i>Smith, Collector of Revenue of Greene County, et al. v. The Ajax Pipe Line Co.</i> , 87 F. (2d) 567; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 670	375
MONTANA:	
SALE—Attempted transfer of property rights to an unformed Montana corporation held ineffectual, <i>Schwartz v. Inspiration Gold Mining Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 1030	343
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Sale of machinery, coupled with installation, servicing and repairing, held doing business, <i>State ex rel, Taylor Laundry Co. v. District Court et al.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 772	230
NEW HAMPSHIRE:	
TAXATION—Nature of the Federal income tax, <i>Boston & Maine Railroad v. Wilton Railroad Co.</i> , 181 A. 545	135
NEW JERSEY:	
ACTIONS—Stockholder's delay of four months in objecting to plan for acquisition of another corporation's stock held to warrant dismissal of suit, <i>Frazer v. Great Western Sugar Co. et al.</i> , 185 A. 64	224
Where a plaintiff foreign corporation was not authorized to do business in the state at the time of commencing its suit, but subsequently became authorized, the suit may be maintained, <i>Peter Doelger Brewing Corporation v. Spindel et al.</i> , 186 A. 429	255
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446	350
By-LAWS—By-law providing for cumulative voting ineffectual where charter does not authorize such voting, <i>In re Brophy et al.</i> , 179 A. 128	9
Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helfman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320
CONTRACTS—Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helfman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446	350
DIRECTORS—Conditions required to hold alleged directors accountable as such, <i>Du Bois v. Century Cement Products Co. et al.</i> , 183 A. 188	200
Contract between corporations having directors in common, ratified by stockholders in accordance with by-law provisions, held valid, <i>Helfman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al.</i> , 187 A. 540	320

	Page
DISREGARD OF THE CORPORATE ENTITY—A stockholder who sold his stock to his corporation, which was later dissolved, may recover the balance of purchase price from a new corporation having the same personnel, formed to carry on the business of his corporation, <i>Fox v. Radel Leather Mfg. Co. et al.</i> , 189 A. 366	393
DISSOLUTION—Officer of dissolved corporation held not subject to penalties for failure to file report subsequent to dissolution, <i>Metropolitan Casualty Insurance Co. of N. Y. v. Brooker</i> , 185 A. 926	273
DIVIDENDS—Payment of dividends on shares of different par values, although of the same class, <i>McGahan v. United Engineering Corporation, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 195	33
Conflicting equitable rights in liquidating dividend resolved in favor of first existing claim, <i>W. G. W. Distributing Company v. Dorothy Lowy et al.</i> , 183 A. 169	175
DOING BUSINESS—Where plaintiff foreign corporation was not authorized to do business in the state at the time of commencing its suit, but subsequently became authorized, the suit may be maintained, <i>Peter Doelger Brewing Corporation v. Spindel et al.</i> , 186 A. 429	255
Foreign corporation engaged in conducting correspondence courses, may maintain suits in state on contracts made outside the state, <i>Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden</i> , 188 A. 446	350
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Inspection of books allowed, <i>McGahan v. United Engineering Corporation, Inc.</i> , 180 A. 195	33
Circumstances under which inspection of books will be ordered, <i>Fuller v. White Metal Mfg. Co., et al.</i> , 180 A. 231	80
POWERS—Power of president to retain accountant to make monthly audit of books, <i>Besser v. Eisler Electric Corporation</i> , 178 A. 750	8
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Foreign corporation furnishing radio programs to subsidiary corporation which broadcast programs from station within state held not doing business in New Jersey so as to subject to service, <i>Hoffman v. Carter et al.</i> , 187 A. 576; affirmed 192 A. 825	298
Attachment of property of foreign corporation in a (state) District Court action, <i>Manuel Logging Company v. Hanson</i> , New Jersey Supreme Court, July 28, 1936, CCH CDR No. 163301	254
STOCK—Use of treasury funds by company to purchase its own stock held unlawful where capital was seriously impaired as a result, <i>Gibbon v. Hill</i> , 79 F. (2d) 288	103
Agreement to repurchase stock at par or for a specified amount held invalid where unauthorized and made in disregard of equitable rights of other stockholders, <i>Hoops et al. v. Leddy et al.</i> , 182 A. 271	151
STOCKHOLDERS—Stockholder's delay of four months in objecting to plan for acquisition of another corporation's stock held to warrant dismissal of suit, <i>Fraser v. Great Western Sugar Co. et al.</i> , 185 A. 64	224
A stockholder who sold his stock to his corporation, which was later dissolved, may recover the balance of purchase price from a new corporation having the same personnel, formed to carry on the business of his corporation, <i>Fox v. Radel Leather Mfg. Co. et al.</i> , 189 A. 366	393
TAXATION—Franchise tax—basis of franchise tax where stock was cancelled without notice to Secretary of State, <i>Vulcan Wheels, Inc. v. Martin</i> , 179 A. 620	43

	Page
VOTING—By-law providing for cumulative voting ineffectual where charter does not authorize such voting, <i>In re Brophy et al.</i> , 179 A. 128	9
NEW MEXICO:	
ACTIONS—Statutory agent of foreign corporation having left state, service on Secretary of State held good; corporation not notified, default judgment taken, <i>Silva v. Crombie & Co.</i> , 44 P. (2d) 719	15
DOING BUSINESS—Making sales in interstate commerce of lighting system plants, installed by purchaser, is not "doing business," <i>Abner Mfg. Co. of Wapakoneta, Ohio, v. McLaughlin</i> , 64 P. (2d) 387	417
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Statutory agent of foreign corporation having left state, service on Secretary of State held good; corporation not notified, default judgment taken, <i>Silva v. Crombie & Co.</i> , 44 P. (2d) 719	15
TAXATION—Motor fuel distributors' license—interstate motor carrier held not subject to license fees as a distributor of motor fuel, <i>Bingman, Commissioner of Revenue, et al. v. Golden Eagle Western Lines, Inc.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 624	184
NEW YORK:	
ACTIONS—Unlicensed foreign corporation may maintain action for conversion of personal property, <i>Meisel Tire Co. v. Mar-Bel Trading Co.</i> , 280 N. Y. S. 335	40
A Massachusetts trust is not a "foreign corporation" and need not qualify as such to maintain an action, <i>Burgoyne et al. v. James</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 18	107
Suit directly involving internal affairs and management of corporation should be instituted in state of domicile, <i>Garfield et al. v. The Great Northern Railway Co. et al.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., Eastern Dist. of N. Y., Dec. 20, 1935. CCH CDR No. 149709	154
Shareholders' bill in equity dismissed for lack of jurisdiction over the corporation, an indispensable party, <i>Philipbar et al. v. Derby et al.</i> , 85 F. (2d) 27	278
A corporation, prosecuting a suit in its own behalf is not required to be represented in court by a licensed attorney, <i>Sellent-Repent Corporation v. Queens Borough Gas & Electric Co. et al.</i> , 290 N. Y. S. 887	321
Where a receiver refuses to institute action to redress injury to corporation, stockholder is without right to initiate derivative action but may apply to have receiver removed and another appointed, <i>Koral v. Savory, Inc., et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 123; reversed, N. Y. Ct. of Appeals, November 23, 1937, CCH CDR No. 186187	343
Statutes of limitation applied, <i>Potter v. Walker et al.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 161	394
An incorporator of a religious association, formed under the Stock Corporation Law, who made no subscription payments and never received a certificate of stock, may not maintain a representative action as a stockholder, <i>Kittinger v. Churchill et al.</i> , 292 N. Y. S. 35 and 292 N. Y. S. 51	394
Unlicensed foreign corporation doing business in state denied right to establish claim in court proceeding, <i>Estate of Edwin King Scheftel</i> , 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809	58, 417

	Page
In a stockholder's derivative action, the stockholder may issue execution and collect judgment recovered on behalf of corporation, <i>Earl v. Brewer et al.</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 922; judgment modified, 289 N. Y. S. 150	127, 224
AMENDMENTS—Attempt, by charter amendment, to reclassify non-callable preferred stock so as to make it callable at a stated amount at the option of the corporation, held to violate stockholder's vested interest in corporation; Section 36(g) of Stock-Corporation Law construed, <i>Breslav v. N. Y. & Queens Electric Light & Power Co. et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 932; affirmed 7 N. E. (2d) 708	294, 424
DIRECTORS—If a judgment is recovered against a director in a state court for violation of section 15, Stock Corporation Law, it is not a dischargeable debt under section 17(a) (4) of the Federal Bankruptcy Act, <i>In re Barnett Bernard, bankrupt-appellant; New York Credit Men's Association, creditor-appellee</i> , 87 F. (2d) 705	368
DISSOLUTION—Dissolution under Section 71 of General Corporation Law of a corporation which has ceased to exist by limitation, <i>Delaware & Hudson Co. v. Mechanicville & Fort Edward Railroad Co. et al.</i> , 268 N. Y. 394, 197 N. E. 325	81
Rights of stockholder who remains inactive until after consummation of reorganization plan and later dissolution of corporation, <i>In re Duer et al.</i> , 270 N. Y. 343, 1 N. E. (2d) 457	225
DOING BUSINESS—Unlicensed foreign corporation doing business in state denied right to established claim in court proceedings, <i>Estate of Edwin King Scheftel</i> , 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809	58, 417
INSOLVENCY—Right of examination under Section 170, General Corporation Law, concerning property of corporation, is not available to a receiver appointed in another state, <i>In re Herbert Myerberg and Harry A. Pechenik, Receivers of the Union Cigar Co.</i> , N. Y. Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, Nov. 27, 1936. CCH CDR No. 167390	323
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—State statute relative to inspection of stock books held not applicable to a national bank, <i>Lauer v. Bayside National Bank</i> , 280 N. Y. S. 139	9
When inspection of corporate books will be permitted, <i>Durr et al. v. Paragon Trading Corporation</i> , 270 N. Y. 464, 1 N. E. (2d) 967	225
Inspection of books of corporation in process of reorganization under Section 77B allowed, <i>In re Bush Terminal Co.</i> , 78 F. (2d) 662	55
NAME—Injunctive relief granted against use of identical well-established corporate name by a recently organized company, <i>Interstate Department Stores, Inc. v. Interstate Department Stores, Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 7, 1936. CCH CDR No. 149664	162
Injunctions granted because of similarity in corporate names, <i>Philmore Dress Corporation v. Philmore Dress Co., Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., July 22, 1936. CCH CDR No. 162663; <i>Crowe & Co., Inc. v. T. L. Crowe & Co., Inc.</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Aug. 12, 1936. CCH CDR No. 163197	278
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Maintenance of office by foreign corporation; service on local agent held good, <i>Frank MacMonnies Corporation v. Sunical Packing Co.</i> , 75 F. (2d) 467	16

The references are to pages.

	Page
Maintaining office for answering inquiries and collection of overdue accounts held not to be doing business, <i>Wolitz v. India Tire Co.</i> , 10 F. Supp. 53	40
Booking agent's solicitation of patronage for foreign hotel corporation held not to be doing business by the foreign corporation, <i>Prince v. Hotel Bermudiana Co., Ltd.</i> , et al., 14 F. Supp. 798	178
Delaware corporation's stock owned by attachment debtor may be subjected to attachment in New York, <i>Cotmareanu et al. v. National City Bank of New York et al.</i> , 271 N. Y. 115, 3 N. E. (2d) 451	255
Foreign newspaper corporation with solicitor in state held not doing business so as to be subject to service of process, <i>Lauricella et al. v. Evening News Publishing Co.</i> , 15 F. Supp. 671	298
Temporary maintenance of booth at exhibition held not doing business so as to render corporation subject to service of process, <i>Rhodes v. Martin</i> , New York Supreme Court, Special Term, New York County, Dec. 8, 1936	350
Foreign newspaper corporation publishing advertising solicited independently by New York advertising agency held not doing business in New York, <i>Taylor v. The Tulsa Tribune Company</i> , U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 11, 1937. CCH CDR No. 169963	371
Purchasing by a foreign corporation held not to be "doing Business" so as to subject its employees to service of summons, <i>Fickett v. Higginbotham-Bailey-Logan Co.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 566	418
STOCK—Liability of transfer agent in transferring stock in connection with certificate reported to it as lost, <i>Van Schoick, Superintendent of Insurance, etc. v. National City Bank of New York</i> , 283 N. Y. S. 372	113
Stamping in name of actual owner of stock certificate as attorney to transfer the stock, otherwise properly endorsed by stockholder of record, held to prevent transfer of title to a bona fide purchaser obtaining certificate subsequent to loss in mails when so stamped, <i>Sun Insurance Office, Ltd. of London v. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company</i> , N. Y. Supreme Court, New York County, CCH CDR No. 163456	259
STOCKHOLDERS—Section 71, New York Stock Corporation Law, relating to "liabilities of stockholders to laborers, servants or employees," construed as to foreign corporations, <i>Armstrong v. Dyer, Hobby et al.</i> , 268 N. Y. 671, 198 N. E. 551	57
Stockholders' agreement relative to management of corporations held valid, <i>Clark v. Dodge et al.</i> , 269 N. Y. 410, 199 N. E. 641	200
In a stockholder's derivative action, the stockholder may issue execution and collect judgment recovered on behalf of corporation, <i>Earl v. Brewer et al.</i> , 282 N. Y. S. 922; judgment modified, 289 N. Y. S. 150	127, 224
Rights of stockholder who remains inactive until after consummation of reorganization plan and later dissolution of corporation, <i>In re Duer et al.</i> , 270 N. Y. 343, 1 N. E. (2d) 457	225
Attempt, by charter amendment, to reclassify non-callable preferred stock so as to make it callable at stated amount at the option of the corporation, held to violate stockholder's vested interest in corporation; section 36(g) of Stock Corporation Law construed, <i>Breslaw v. N. Y. & Queens Electric Light & Power Co. et al.</i> , 291 N. Y. S. 932; affirmed 7 N. E. (2d) 708	294, 424

	Page
TAXATION—Income tax—salary of general counsel of railroad corporation controlled by the government, through stock ownership, held not immune from state personal income tax, <i>People ex rel. Rogers v. Graves et al.</i> , 283 N. Y. S. 538	135
Franchise (income) tax—Delaware Company held taxable for franchise tax purposes under Article 9A rather than as a holding company under Section 188 of Article 9, <i>In re Simms Petroleum Company</i> , 286 N. Y. S. 686	185
Franchise (income) tax—transfer of amount from surplus account to capital account held not to constitute declaration of dividend for purposes of measuring franchise tax, <i>People ex rel. Adams Electric Light Co. v. Graves et al.</i> , 272 N. Y. 77, 4 N. E. (2d) 941	352
Stock transfer tax—the Stock Transfer law as amended in 1933 is held constitutional as applied to the transfer of par value shares, <i>Vaughan and Co. v. State</i> , 272 N. Y. 102, 5 N. E. (2d) 53; appeal dismissed for want of a substantial Federal question, 57 S. Ct. 510	304, 378
Stock transfer tax—tax does not apply to a transfer occurring by operation of law where shares owned by a company consolidating with another corporation become vested in the new corporate entity created out of the component organizations, <i>Electric Bond & Share Co. v. State</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 175	400
New York City Gross Receipts tax held not applicable to a domestic corporation engaged in foreign commerce, <i>Gdynia America Line, Inc. v. Taylor et al.</i> , 293 N. Y. S. 613	400
NORTH CAROLINA:	
ACTIONS—Corporation may be liable for slander uttered by officers or agents, when circumstances warrant inference of authority for the tort, <i>Britt v. Howell et al.</i> , 181 S. E. 619	163
DOING BUSINESS—Soliciting, taking and delivering of photographs within state held to be doing intrastate business, although developing and finishing were done elsewhere, <i>Lucas et al. v. The City of Charlotte</i> , 86 F. (2d) 394	350
RECEIVERS—Receiver continuing business; liability of corporation for franchise tax, <i>Stagg et al. v. George E. Nisson Co., Inc.</i> , 180 S. E. 658	88
SEAL—Affixing corporate seal not essential to paper appointing substitute trustee, <i>North Carolina Mortgage Corp. v. Morgan</i> , 182 S. E. 450	137
TAXATION—Receiver continuing business; liability of corporation for franchise tax, <i>Stagg et al. v. George E. Nisson Co., Inc.</i> , 180 S. E. 658	88
Intangibles of a foreign corporation held to have a business situs in North Carolina, subjecting them to ad valorem taxation, <i>Mecklenburg County et al v. Sterchi Bros. Stores, Inc.</i> , 185 S. E. 454	234
Tangible property of foreign corporation held taxable where it is used in the conduct of its business, <i>The Texas Company v. City of Elizabeth City</i> , 187 S. E. 551	281
NORTH DAKOTA:	
FOREIGN CORPORATIONS—New Foreign Corporation Act noted (Laws of 1937, H. B. No. 217)	361

OHIO:

- DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—Separate entities of parent and subsidiary not disregarded, *North et al. v. Higbee Co. et al.*, 3 N. E. (2d) 391; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 432. 273, 353
- INSOLVENCY—Subscription agreement, providing for repurchase of stock by corporation under certain circumstances, will not be enforced where corporation is insolvent, the intervening rights of creditors rendering the agreement unenforceable, *Squire, Supt. of Banks, et al. v. Rafferty*, 2 N. E. (2d) 255. 226
- STOCK—Subscription agreement, providing for repurchase of stock by corporation under certain circumstances, will not be enforced where corporation is insolvent, the intervening rights of creditors rendering the agreement unenforceable, *Squire, Supt. of Banks, et al. v. Rafferty*, 2 N. E. (2d) 255. 226
- STOCKHOLDERS—Voting powers of preferred stockholders, *Personal Industrial Bankers, Inc. v. Citizens Budget Co., et al.*, 80 F. (2d) 327. 128
- TAXATION—Retail sales tax held constitutional as to certain vendors, *Fox v. Frank*, 52 Ohio App. 483, 3 N. E. (2d) 996; appeal dismissed, 198 N. E. 873. 64

OKLAHOMA:

- DIRECTORS—Resolution of directors limiting authority of officers and agents is not binding upon uninformed party dealing with them, *Cherokee Public Service Co. v. Harry Cragin Lumber Co.*, 49 P. (2d) 723. 104
- DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—New corporation organized to succeed to business of existing corporation; liability for debts, *Oklahoma Title Co. et al. v. Burrus*, 44 P. (2d) 852. 34
- Corporate entity may be disregarded where one company is merely an adjunct or instrumentality of another by which the former is dominated and controlled, *Wallace v. Tulsa Yellow Cab Taxi & Baggage Co. et al.*, 61 P. (2d) 645. 344
- Foreign parent company, not doing business in state, does not become subject to jurisdiction because of subsidiaries' presence there, provided separate corporate entities are formally maintained, *Wilhelm et al. v. Consolidated Oil Corp. et al.*, 84 F. (2d) 739. 299
- DOING BUSINESS—Foreign insurance company not required to comply with statutes governing qualification of ordinary business corporations, where it had complied with special statutes relating to insurance companies which accomplish the same purpose, *Carlin et ux. v. Prudential Insurance Co. of America*, 52 P. (2d) 721. 202
- An isolated transaction does not constitute doing business, *Dime Savings & Trust Co. v. Humphreys*, 53 P. (2d) 665. 203
- INSPECTION OF BOOKS—Statute permitting inspection of foreign corporations' books and papers in proceedings involving their internal management, may not be invoked in ad valorem tax proceedings, *Gilmer Oil Co. v. Ross, Judge*, 62 P. (2d) 76. 399
- SEAL—Corporate seal required to be affixed to contract of corporation to sell real property where specific performance is sought, *Downing v. Young Men's Christian Assn. et al.*, CCH CDR No. 165065. 296

	Page
SERVICE OF PROCESS —Activities of corporation in solicitation of orders, recapture and resale of property, etc., held doing business, <i>Wills v. National Mineral Co.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 449	179
Unqualified foreign trust company, having no agents in state and merely attempting to collect debt, held not doing business, <i>Dunham v. Marine Midland Trust Co. of New York</i> , 53 P. (2d) 254	202
Foreign parent company, not doing business in state, does not become subject to jurisdiction because of subsidiaries' presence there, provided separate corporate entities are formally maintained, <i>Wilhelm et al. v. Consolidated Oil Corp. et al.</i> , 84 F. (2d) 739	299
STOCK —Contract between corporation and purchaser of shares under which corporation agrees to repurchase; tender, <i>Janeway et al. v. Vandeventer</i> , 45 P. (2d) 79	34
Vice-President's signature on stock certificate forged in other respects, held not binding on company where purchaser had no contacts with that officer, <i>Gooch v. Natural Gas Supply Co.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 932	104
TAXATION —Intangibles owned by a foreign corporation not employed in business transacted in Oklahoma, do not acquire a "business situs" so as to be assignable to the state for license tax purposes, <i>Chestnut Securities Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 48 P. (2d) 817	89
Credits or accounts receivable of a foreign corporation arising from Oklahoma activities held to have acquired a "business situs" there for ad valorem tax purposes, <i>State v. Atlantic Oil Producing Co.</i> , 49 P. (2d) 534	131
Ad valorem taxation of property moving in interstate commerce, <i>Louisiana Iron & Supply Co. v. Jolly et al.</i> , 51 P. (2d) 280	111
Situs of tangible personal property for purpose of ad valorem taxation, <i>Johnson Oil Refining Co. v. State ex rel. Templeton</i> , 46 P. (2d) 546	111
Long-time indebtedness may be deducted in determining value of capital stock for annual license tax purposes, <i>Southwestern Light & Power Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 637	376
Constitutional amendment providing for a Gross Income Tax held inoperative because initiative measure was improperly submitted, <i>Associated Industries of Oklahoma et al. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 55 P. (2d) 79	161
Statute permitting inspection of foreign corporations' books and papers in proceedings involving their internal management, may not be invoked in ad valorem tax proceedings, <i>Gilmer Oil Co. v. Ross, Judge</i> , 62 P. (2d) 76	399
Income tax—income of an unlicensed foreign corporation having no property in Oklahoma, derived wholly from interstate business, held not taxable under the Oklahoma Income Tax Law, <i>Curlee Clothing Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission</i> , 68 P. (2d) 834	409
ONTARIO:	
DIVIDENDS —Mailing of dividend warrant to stockholder at his registered address in accordance with by-law held to constitute a legal payment of the dividend, <i>Rands v. Hiram Walker, G. & W. Ltd.</i> , (1936) 4 D.L.R. 186	296
DOING BUSINESS —Unlicensed extra-provincial corporation is not doing business when merely instituting actions or appeal proceedings, <i>International Railway Co. v. Niagara Parks Commission</i> , (1936) 2 D.L.R. 405	230

	Page
STOCK—Purchaser of stock certificate, lost while endorsed in blank held entitled to compel registration in his name where defendants acquired certificate under circumstances putting them on inquiry, <i>Whitehead v. Bridger, Hevenor & Co. et al.</i> , (1936) 3 D.L.R. 408 . . .	251
OREGON:	
ACTIONS—Action, pending against corporation at close of five year statutory "settling of business" period following dissolution, held to abate, <i>G. M. Standifer Construction Corp. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , 78 F. (2d) 285 . . .	35
Delinquency in payment of real property taxes by a corporation is no bar to its maintenance of suit, <i>East Side Mill & Lumber Co. et al. v. Dwyer Logging Co.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 89 . . .	376
DISSOLUTION—Action, pending against corporation at close of five-year statutory "settling of business" period following dissolution, held to abate, <i>G. M. Standifer Construction Corp. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , 78 F. (2d) 285 . . .	35
STOCK—Subscription to stock, induced by fraudulent representations, may be rescinded if subscriber is not estopped by laches, acceptance of benefits or intervening rights of third parties, <i>Gordon v. Ralston</i> , 62 P. (2d) 1328 . . .	368
TAXATION—Offset against excise tax allowed on basis of personal property taxes "paid" rather than as "accrued," during preceding year, <i>Northwestern Ice & Cold Storage Co. v. Galloway et al.</i> , 49 P. (2d) 359 . . .	90
Delinquency in payment of real property taxes by a corporation is no bar to its maintenance of suit, <i>East Side Mill & Lumber Co. et al. v. Dwyer Logging Co.</i> , 64 P. (2d) 89 . . .	376
PENNSYLVANIA:	
ACTIONS—Courts will not interfere with internal affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Kelly v. Brackenridge Brewing Co., Inc., et al.</i> , 178 A. 487 . . .	16
Writ of mandamus to compel the qualification of a foreign non-profit corporation denied, <i>Horowitz v. Beamish, Secretary of the Commonwealth</i> , 40 Dauphin County Reports 336; affirmed, 185 A. 760 . . .	58, 231
CHARTER—Abandonment of corporate franchise of non-profit corporation created by special act not to be presumed where members maintained affiliation during period of inactivity, <i>Com. ex rel. Schnader, Atty. Gen. v. Neptune Club</i> , 184 A. 542 . . .	251
DIRECTORS—Refusal of directors to distribute proceeds of life insurance policy as a dividend, upheld, <i>Jones v. Motor Sales Co. of Johnstown et al.</i> , 185 A. 809 . . .	274
DIVIDENDS—Debtor's retention of stock dividend, on stock pledged as collateral held an implied breach of trust, <i>Peoples-Pittsburgh Trust Co. v. Saupp</i> , 182 A. 376 . . .	175
Refusal of directors to distribute proceeds of life insurance policy as a dividend upheld, <i>Jones v. Motor Sales Co. of Johnstown et al.</i> , 185 A. 809 . . .	274
DOING BUSINESS—Foreign corporation financing purchase and sale of refrigerators held doing business for purposes of capital stock and bonus taxes, <i>Refrigeration Discount Corp. v. Metzger</i> , 10 F. Supp. 748 . . .	19
FOREIGN CORPORATIONS—Courts will not interfere with internal affairs of a foreign corporation, <i>Kelly v. Brackenridge Brewing Co., Inc., et al.</i> , 178 A. 487 . . .	16

	Page
Writ of mandamus to compel the qualification of a foreign non-profit corporation denied, <i>Horowitz v. Beamish, Secretary of the Commonwealth</i> , 40 Dauphin County Reports 336; affirmed, 185 A. 760	58, 231
MERGER—Shareholder dissenting to building and loan corporations merger agreement held barred by statutory limitation from establishing claim, <i>Gorges v. Greater Adelphi Building & Loan Assn.</i> , 185 A. 815	275
STOCK—Debtor's retention of stock dividend on stock pledged as collateral, held an implied breach of trust, <i>Peoples-Pittsburgh Trust Co. v. Saupp</i> , 182 A. 376	175
Conditional subscription agreement to purchase stock, entered into after incorporation, held unenforceable if conditions are not complied with, <i>Berger v. Callander et al., Receivers of General Products Corporation</i> , 81 F. (2d) 687	152
STOCKHOLDERS—Shareholder dissenting to building and loan corporations merger agreement held barred by statutory limitation from establishing claims, <i>Gorges v. Greater Adelphi Building & Loan Assn.</i> , 185 A. 815	275
TAXATION—Capital stock tax—foreign corporation financing purchase and sale of refrigerators held doing business, <i>Refrigeration Discount Corp. v. Metzger</i> , 10 F. Supp. 748	19
Capital stock tax—capital of a manufacturing company represented by large reserves of liquid assets and by a self insurance fund, held employed in manufacturing, <i>Commonwealth v. The Curtis Publishing Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 13, 1936. CCH CDR No. 162324; affirmed 192 A. 875	256
Capital stock—automobile finance corporation's assignments of installment paper in trust to banks as collateral to loans held property included in basis of tax, <i>Commonwealth v. Automobile Banking Corp.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 23, 1936. CCH CDR No. 162678	257
Capital stock tax—making of flavoring extracts held to be "manufacturing" for purposes of tax, <i>Commonwealth v. J. Frank and Sons, Inc.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Common Pleas, July 22, 1936. CCH CDR No. 162534	257
Capital stock tax—dwelling houses owned by a manufacturing company, occupied by its employees, held not exclusively employed in manufacturing, <i>Commonwealth v. Keasbey & Mattison Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, September 14, 1936. CCH CDR No. 163845	304
Corporate loans tax—non-resident treasurer of a foreign corporation, with principal office in Pennsylvania, held not required to collect corporate loans tax on interest on bonded indebtedness paid in another state, <i>Commonwealth v. Hershey Creamery Co.</i> , Dauphin Co. Ct. of Com. Pleas, March 9, 1936. CCH CDR No. 154015	185
Franchise tax—payment of the franchise tax by a foreign corporation held to exempt its stock from personal property taxes in hands of stockholders, <i>Estate of James W. Arrott, Jr.</i> , 185 A. 697	235
Income tax—corporate net income tax held constitutional, <i>Turco Paint & Varnish Co. v. Kalodner</i> , 184 A. 37	136
Mercantile license tax—a co-operative association making sales to non-members is subject to this tax, <i>Appeal of Beaver County Co-operative Assn.</i> 180 A. 98	65

RHODE ISLAND:

- ACTIONS—The Supreme Court of Rhode Island draws the line between statutory and equitable relief, *Petrovics v. The Kings Holdings, Inc.*, 188 A. 514. 369
- DISREGARD OF CORPORATE ENTITY—A corporation, which is merely a continuation of another corporation, which absorbs the business and property of the latter, may be held liable for the latter's debts, *Cranston Dressed Meat Co., Inc. v. Packers Outlet Co., Inc.*, 190 A. 29. 398

SOUTH CAROLINA:

- SERVICE OF PROCESS—Installation of machinery; process held valid, *Garrett Engineering Co. v. Auburn Foundry, Inc.*, 179 S. E. 693. 40
- General assistance rendered dealers within state in developing sales methods held not doing business, *Wiggins & Sons, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.*, 186 S. E. 272. 231
- TAXATION—"Compensating tax" on storage, use or consumption of gasoline held constitutional, *Gregg Dyeing Co. v. Query et al.*, 286 U. S. 472, 52 S. Ct. 631. 68, 401
- VOTING TRUST—A voting trust is held not to be against public policy, *Alderman et al. v. Alderman et al.*, 181 S. E. 897. 105

SOUTH DAKOTA:

- DOING BUSINESS—Foreign corporation's acceptance in another state of assignment of conditional sale contract made within South Dakota held not to be doing business, *General Motors Acceptance Corporation v. Huron Finance Corporation et al.*, 262 N. W. 195. 59
- Sales of samples, by a salesman selling by sample in interstate commerce, will not give the interstate business an interstate character, *Wyman, Partridge Holding Co. v. Lowe et al.*, 272 N. W. 181. 418
- TAXATION—Chain store tax—chapter 204, Laws of 1935, providing for a chain store tax, held invalid as not properly enacted, *Barnsdall Refining Corporation et al. v. Welsh et al.*, 269 N. W. 853. 305

TENNESSEE:

- DOING BUSINESS—Unlicensed foreign corporation loaning money on property in state and purchasing at foreclosure held not doing business, *Erwin Nat. Bank v. Riddle et al.*, 79 S. W. (2d) 1032. 41
- Erection of sign listing foreign corporation's offices in another state, coupled with single instance of loan effected on Tennessee property, held not to be doing business, *Fox, Trustee, et al. v. River Heights, Inc., et al.*, Tennessee Supreme Court, Jan. 11, 1936, CCH CDR No. 149878. 154
- INSOLVENCY—Funds of insolvent foreign corporation having Tennessee creditors are not recoverable by foreign receiver, but will be retained within state and treated as trust fund distributable ratably among creditors, *Davis v. Amra Grotto M. O. V. P. E. R., Inc., et al. (Warner, Intervener)*, 89 S. W. (2d) 754. 179
- TAXATION—Excise tax—the excise tax is levied upon the privilege of doing business as a corporation and exercising corporate powers for purposes of profit, *Memphis Dock & Forwarding Co. v. Fort, Commissioner of Finance & Taxation*, 92 S. W. (2d) 408. 207
- Franchise tax—the franchise tax law (L. 1935, Extra Sess., Ch. 5), held constitutional as to corporations and invalid as to partnerships, *Corn et al. v. Fort* and *West Kentucky Coal Co. v. Fort*, 95 S. W. (2d) 620. 235

TEXAS:

ACTIONS—Foreign corporation maintaining office in state must show issuance of permit to maintain suit, <i>Feder et al. v. Texas Bithulithic Co. et al.</i> , 82 S. W. (2d) 724.....	62
DIRECTORS—Actual, not constructive, knowledge, approval and consent necessary to render directors of corporation, whose right to do business had been forfeited, liable on debts, <i>Groce-Parrish Co. v. Yakey et al.</i> , 81 S. W. (2d) 273.....	35
DOING BUSINESS—Sale of merchandise from house to house held doing business, <i>Baldwin Music Shop, Inc. v. Watson</i> , 88 S. W. (2d) 516; reversed 102 S. W. (2d) 478.....	134, 419
Leasing of shoe repairing machinery is doing business, <i>Davis v. United Shoe Repairing Machinery Co.</i> , 92 S. W. (2d) 1107.....	231
INSPECTION OF BOOKS—The right of a stockholder to inspect the corporate books is not limited to one occasion, <i>Smith v. Trumbull Farmers Gin Co. et al.</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 829.....	176
Corporations are entitled to jury trial on issue of bad faith of stockholder seeking inspection of corporate records, <i>Guaranty Old Line Life Co. et al. v. McCallum, Judge, et al.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 966.....	369
STOCK—Rights assigned under an oil and gas lease held "property" for purpose of issuance of corporate stock, <i>McAlister v. Eclipse Oil Co.</i> , 98 S. W. (2d) 171.....	344
STOCKHOLDERS—The right of a stockholder to inspect the corporate books is not limited to one occasion, <i>Smith v. Trumbull Farmers Gin Co., et al.</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 829.....	176
Corporations are entitled to jury trial on issue of bad faith of stockholder seeking inspection of corporate records, <i>Guaranty Old Line Life Co. et al. v. McCallum, Judge, et al.</i> , 97 S. W. (2d) 966.....	369
TAXATION—Franchise tax—tax, paid in advance, under protest, by a foreign corporation, which became insolvent before the period covered by the payment began, held a voluntary payment which may not be recovered, <i>Producers & Refiners' Corp. of Texas v. Heath, Secretary of State</i> , 81 S. W. (2d) 533.....	90
Cigarette Tax—"use" or "consumption" feature of cigarette sales tax held invalid, <i>Sheppard et al. v. Musser</i> , 89 S. W. (2d) 222; reversed, 92 S. W. (2d) 219; motion to dismiss appeal granted for want of a final judgment, 57 S. Ct. 171.....	185, 208, 283

UTAH:

AMENDMENTS—Statutes permitting corporations generally to amend their charters extending their corporate existence do not contravene constitutional prohibition on legislature to extend the franchise or charter of a corporation, <i>Keetch et al. v. Cordner et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 273.....	345
CHARTER—Statutes permitting corporations generally to amend their charters extending their corporate existence do not contravene constitutional prohibition on legislature to extend the franchise or charter of a corporation, <i>Keetch et al. v. Cordner et al.</i> , 62 P. (2d) 273.....	345
CONTRACTS—Promoter's contract held not binding upon corporation subsequently organized, <i>Murray v. Monter et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 960.....	275
DISSOLUTION—Unclaimed distributive shares of stockholders, upon dissolution, ordered held in trust for lawful owners, and not subject to distribution to state or to stockholders who had already asserted their claims, <i>In re Montello Salt Company</i> , 53 P. (2d) 727.....	176

	Page
STOCK—Purchase of its own stock by a corporation held void, <i>Pace v. Pace Bros. Co. et al.</i> , 59 P. (2d) 1; petition for rehearing denied, 63 P. (2d) 590.....	226
STOCKHOLDERS—Unclaimed distributive shares of stockholders, upon dissolution, ordered held in trust for lawful owners, and not subject to distribution to state or to stockholders who had already asserted their claims, <i>In re Montello Salt Company</i> , 53 P. (2d) 727.....	176
TAXATION—Where small sales are made and retail sales tax due is a fractional part of a cent, vendor is required to pay the tax on the aggregate amount of such sales, and actual collection of tax from vendee under such circumstances is a matter of adjustment between vendor and vendee, <i>W. F. Jensen Candy Co. v. State Tax Commission</i> , 61 P. (2d) 629.....	282
Foreign holding company held exempt from franchise tax where a number of its subsidiaries filed franchise tax returns, <i>First Security Corporation of Ogden v. State Tax Commission</i> , 63 P. (2d) 1062.....	377
VIRGINIA:	
CHARTER—A corporation, other than a railroad company, may not be chartered to carry on several forms of public utility services, <i>South East Public Service Corp. of Virginia v. Commonwealth ex rel. State Corporation Commission</i> , 181 S. E. 448.....	105
DIVIDENDS—Order of Chancellor for declaration of dividend, acquiesced in by all but four of fifteen directors, affirmed, <i>Starring et al. v. Kemp et al.</i> , 188 S. E. 174.....	345
DOING BUSINESS—A domestic corporation, with its only office in the state, is subject to an ad valorem tax on its capital, even though its business is interstate in character, <i>Commonwealth v. Imperial Coal Sales Co.</i> , 183 S. E. 234.....	161
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Mere maintenance of office in state by foreign corporation, without delegation of an essential function to an agent, is not doing business, <i>Tignor v. L. G. Balfour & Co.</i> , 187 S. E. 468.....	278
TAXATION—A domestic corporation, with its only office in the state, is subject to an ad valorem tax on its capital, even though its business is interstate in character, <i>Commonwealth v. Imperial Coal Sales Co.</i> , 183 S. E. 234.....	161
Entrance fee of foreign corporations, based upon authorized capital stock, held constitutional, <i>Atlantic Refining Co. v. Commonwealth</i> , 165 Va. 492, 183 S. E. 243; appeal filed in Sup. Ct. of U. S., April 24, 1936; argument concluded, October 22, 1936; affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 75.....	136, 402
WASHINGTON:	
DISSOLUTION—Upon dissolution, property of corporation passes to stockholders, subject to corporate liabilities, <i>Cohen v. L. & G. Investment Co.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1042.....	227
DOING BUSINESS—Foreign corporation held doing business so as to be subject to the gross income or occupation tax, <i>Milwaukee Land Company v. State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 996.....	327
POWERS—Unqualified foreign trust company held empowered to hold and convey good title to real estate, <i>Townsend v. Rosenbaum et al.</i> , 60 P. (2d) 251. (For subsequent legislation, see sec. 16, H. B. No. 531, L. 1937).....	323

	Page
SERVICE OF PROCESS—Selling supplies and equipment and repairing of equipment held to be doing business, <i>Short v. Lewis-Stenger Barbers' Supply Co.</i> , CCH CDR No. 152412	182
STOCKHOLDERS—Upon dissolution, property of corporation passes to stockholders, subject to corporate liabilities, <i>Cohen v. L. & G. Investment Co.</i> , 57 P. (2d) 1042	227
TAXATION—Corporate net income tax law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 17) held unconstitutional, <i>Petroleum Navigation Co. v. Henneford et al.</i> , 55 P. (2d) 1056	186
Retail sales tax law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 3) held constitutional; use of tokens; collection of tax by retailer; exemptions, <i>Morrow v. Henneford et al.</i> , 47 P. (2d) 1016	65
"Compensating tax" law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 4) held constitutional by state court, <i>Vancouver Oil Company v. Henneford et al.</i> , 183 Wash. 317, 49 P. (2d) 14	44
"Compensating tax" law (L. 1935, Ch. 180, Title 4) held unconstitutional by U. S. District Court, (15 F. Supp. 958), and constitutional by U. S. Supreme Court, <i>Henneford et al. v. Silas Mason Company, Inc.</i> , et al., 57 S. Ct. 524	258, 401
Business and occupation tax law (L. 1933, Ch. 191) held properly imposed on corporation operating in national park, <i>Rainier Nat. Park Co. v. Henneford</i> , 45 P. (2d) 617; writ of certiorari denied by U. S. Sup. Ct., Dec. 9, 1935, 56 S. Ct. 307	20
Business tax on radio broadcasting, based on entire gross income (L. 1933, Ch. 191, Sec. 2) held unconstitutional, 56 S. Ct. 608	236
Business or occupation tax held applicable to a non-stock corporation, <i>Peninsula Light Co. v. Tax Commission of Washington et al.</i> , 56 P. (2d) 720	250
Business or occupation tax held applicable to a comparatively inactive foreign real estate and lumber company, <i>Milwaukee Land Company v. State</i> , 61 P. (2d) 996	327
Recovery of income taxes denied where paid under a statute later held invalid, because not paid under statutory form of protest or under compulsion, <i>C. I. T. Corporation v. Spokane County</i> , 57 P. (2d) 322	258
WEST VIRGINIA:	
NAME—Injunction restraining use of similar name; unfair competition, <i>Household Finance Corporation of Delaware v. Household Finance Corporation of West Virginia</i> , 11 F. Sup. 3	38
TAXATION—The intangibles of a foreign corporation may become localized and be taxed for ad valorem purposes in states where they arise in the course of its business, <i>Wheeling Steel Corporation v. Fox, State Tax Commissioner et al.</i> , 56 S. Ct. 773, 298 U. S. 193; rehearing denied, 57 S. Ct. 4	210
WISCONSIN:	
ACTIONS—A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in the state is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545	326
BY-LAWS—A director or fiduciary officer is presumed to serve without compensation in the absence of a valid agreement therefor; by law conflicting with statute held void, <i>Security Savings & Trust Co. v. Coos Bay Lumber and Coal Co. et al.</i> , 263 N. W. 187	128

	Page
CONTRACTS—Plea entered by defendant of the non-qualification of plaintiff, a foreign trust company merely acting as one of two trustees under contracts entered into outside Wisconsin, held not a valid defense, <i>Union Trust Co. of Md. et al. v. Rodeman et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 508.....	203
A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in the state is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545.....	326
Unlicensed foreign corporation denied right to sue on contract involving Wisconsin property, <i>Florida Realty Finance & Security Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Sons Co.</i> , 272 N. W. 38.....	422
DIRECTORS—A director or fiduciary officer is presumed to serve without compensation in the absence of a valid agreement therefor; by law conflicting with statute held valid, <i>Security Savings & Trust Co. v. Coos Bay Lumber and Coal Co. et al.</i> , 263 N. W. 187.....	128
Wisconsin Supreme Court construes Section 35 of Delaware General Corporation Law relative to directors' liability for payment of dividends out of capital assets, <i>Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Co. v. Sampsel et al.</i> , 272 N. W. 53.....	414
DOING BUSINESS—Plea entered by defendant of the non-qualification of plaintiff, a foreign trust company merely acting as one of two trustees under contracts entered into outside Wisconsin, held not a valid defense, <i>Union Trust Co. of Md. et al. v. Rodeman et al.</i> , 264 N. W. 508.....	203
A contract entered into in Wisconsin by a foreign corporation which is not authorized to do business in Wisconsin is void and not enforceable by it in the state courts, <i>Holleb-Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al.</i> , 270 N. W. 545.....	326
Taking assignment in another state of notes secured by chattel mortgage on Wisconsin property is not doing business in Wisconsin, <i>Muldowney et al. v. McCoy Hotel Co.</i> , 269 N. W. 655.....	351
Unlicensed foreign corporation denied right to sue on contract involving Wisconsin property, <i>Florida Realty Finance & Security Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Sons Co.</i> , 272 N. W. 38.....	422
INSOLVENCY—Delaware franchise taxes arising during receivership held to be valid claims, <i>State of Delaware v. J. Seton Gray, Receiver</i> , 267 N. W. 310.....	260
TAXATION—Chain store tax—emergency occupational tax on chain stores (Section 76.75, Wis. Stats. 1933), held unconstitutional, <i>Ed. Schuster & Co., Inc. v. Henry, State Treasurer; Wadhams Oil Co. v. Henry, State Treasurer</i> , 261 N. W. 20; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 148.....	66
Income tax—certiorari denied in case holding invalid a statute declaring a foreign corporation "a resident," under certain circumstances, for income tax purposes, <i>Wisconsin Tax Commission v. Newport Company</i> , 261 N. W. 884; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 598.....	187
Privilege dividend tax held constitutional, <i>State ex rel. Froedter Grain & Malting Co., Inc. v. Tax Commission of Wisconsin</i> , 265 N. W. 672; rehearing denied, 267 N. W. 52.....	162, 208
Delaware franchise taxes arising during receivership held to be valid claims, <i>State of Delaware v. J. Seton Gray, Receiver</i> , 267 N. W. 310.....	260

PART III
TABLE OF CASES DIGESTED
IN
THE CORPORATION JOURNAL
VOLUME XII

	Page
Abner Mfg. Co. of Wapakoneta, Ohio v. McLaughlin, (N. M.) 64 P. (2d) 387	417
Abrin v. Equitable Trust Co. et al., (Mich.) 261 N. W. 85	7
Acton v. Washington Times Company, (Md.) 12 F. Supp. 257	130
Adams, as Admx. etc. v. S. R. Morgan, et al., (Kan.) 52 P. (2d) 643	126
A.-G., B. C. v. Royal Bk. et al., (1937) 1 D. L. R. 637; affirmed (1937) 3 D. L. R. 393	402
Ainscow v. Sanitary Company of America, (Del.) 180 A. 614	54
Ajax Pipe Line Co. v. Smith, Collector of Revenue of Greene County, Mo., et al., (Mo.) 87 F. (2d) 567; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 670	375
Alderman et al. v. Alderman et al., (S. C.) 181 S. E. 897	105
American General Corporation v. Camp et al., (Md.) 190 A. 225	392
Appeal of Beaver County Co-operative Ass'n., (Pa.) 180 A. 98	65
Appeal of Newton Packing Co., (Mich.) 271 N. W. 710	393
Armstrong v. Dyer, Hobby et al., (N. Y.) 268 N. Y. 671, 198 N. E. 55	57
Arrott, James W., Jr., Estate of, (Pa.) 185 A. 697	235
Ashwander et al. v. Tennessee Valley Authority et al., (Ala.) 56 S. Ct. 465; petition for rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 588	150
Associated Industries of Oklahoma et al. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission, (Okla.) 55 P. (2d) 79	161
Atlantic Lumber Company v. Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation, (Mass.) 197 N. E. 525; affirmed, 56 S. Ct. 887	42, 234
Atlantic Refining Co. v. Commonwealth, (Va.) 165 Va. 492, 183 S. E. 243; appeal filed U. S. Sup. Ct., April 24, 1936; case restored to docket for reargument at the October 1937 Term, June 1, 1937; affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 75	136, 425
Atlantic Refining Co. v. Ingalls & Co., Inc., (Del.) 185 A. 885	247
Baldwin Music Shop, Inc. v. Watson, (Tex.) 88 S. W. (2d) 516; reversed, 102 S. W. (2d) 478	134, 419
Baltimore National Bank v. State Tax Commission of Maryland, (Md.) 56 S. Ct. 417	160
Bank of California, National Association v. Craddock-Terry Co., (Cal.) 83 Fed. (2d) 819	222
Barnett et al., v. Central Republic Bank & Trust Co. et al., (Ind.) 196 N. E. 369	10
Barnett Bernard, In re, (N. Y.) 87 F. (2d) 705	368
Barnsdall Refining Corporation et al. v. Welsh et al., (S. D.) 269 N. W. 853	305
Bates, Superintendent of Banking, v. Brooks et al., (Iowa) 270 N. W. 867	391
Bay City v. Frazier, (Mich.) 77 F. (2d) 570	14
Bemis Bros. Bag Co. v. Wallace et al. and S. H. Clausin & Co. v. Wallace et al., (Minn.) 266 N. W. 690	207
Berger v. Frank S. Callander and O. T. Bieulau, Receiver of General Products Corporation, (Pa.) 81 F. (2d) 687	152
Berman v. Affiliated Enterprises, Inc. et al., (Me.) 17 F. Supp. 305	347

The references are to pages.

	Page
Berry Sons' Co., Inc. v. Owens, (Miss.) 169 So. 685	273
Besser v. Eisler Electric Corporation, (N. J.) 170 A. 750	8
Billiard Table Mfg. Corporation v. First Tyler Bank & Trust Co., (Ill.) 16 F. Supp. 990	367
Bingaman, Commissioner of Revenue of New Mexico et al. v. Golden Eagle Western Lines, Inc., (N. M.) 56 S. Ct. 624	184
Boessow v. Johnson, State Treasurer, (Calif.) 52 P. (2d) 505	182
Boston & Maine Railroad v. Wilton Railroad Company, (N. H.) 181 A. 545	135
Breneman v. The Laundry et al., (Mo.) 87 S. W. (2d) 429	151
Breslav v. N. Y. and Queens Electric Light and Power Co. et al., (N. Y.) 291 N. Y. S. 932; affirmed 7 N. E. (2d) 708	296, 424
Britt v. Howell et al., (N. C.) 181 S. E. 619	163
Brophy, In re, (N. J.) 179 A. 128	9
Burgoyne et al. v. James, (N. Y.) 282 N. Y. S. 18	107
Burton v. Oliver Farm Equipment Sales Company, (Fla.) 163 So. 468	82
Bush Terminal Co., In re Inc., (N. Y.) 78 F. (2d) 662	55
Canal Construction Co., In re, (Del.) 182 A. 545	126
Carlin et ux. v. Prudential Insurance Company of America, (Okla.) 52 P. (2d) 721	202
Chandler, Receiver of Diamond Motor Parts Company v. John Peketz, (Colo.) 56 S. Ct. 602; petition for rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 746	236
Cherokee Public Service Co. v. Harry Cragin Lumber Co., (Okla.) 49 P. (2d) 723	104
Chestnut Securities Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission et al., (Okla.) 48 P. (2d) 817	89
C. I. T. Corporation v. Spokane County, (Wash.) 57 P. (2d) 322	258
Citizens Water Works, Inc. v. Edward J. Hughes, Secretary of State et al. (Ill.) 199 N. E. 265	158
Clark v. Dodge et al., (N. Y.) 269 N. Y. 410, 199 N. E. 641	200
Clark v. Jordan, (Calif.) 60 P. (2d) 457	255
Clark v. Western Feeding Company et al., (Calif.) 52 P. (2d) 991	150
Cohen v. L. & G. Investment Co., (Wash.) 57 P. (2d) 1042	227
Commissioner of Corporations and Taxation v. Thayer, Bradley Co., (Mass.) 197 N. E. 47	18
Commonwealth v. Imperial Coal Sales Co., (Va.) 183 S. E. 234	161
Commonwealth ex rel. Schnader, Atty. General, v. Neptune Club of the City of Philadelphia, (Pa.) 184 A. 542	251
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Automobile Banking Corporation, (Pa.) Dauphin County Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 23, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162678	257
Commonwealth v. The Curtis Publishing Company, (Pa.) Dauphin County Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 13, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162324; affirmed 192 A. 875	256
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. J. Frank & Sons, Inc., (Pa.) Dauphin County Ct. of Com. Pleas, July 22, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162534	257
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Hershey Creamery Company, (Pa.) Dauphin County Ct. of Com. Pleas, March 9, 1936, CCH CDR No. 154015	185
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Keasbey & Mattison Co., (Pa.) Dauphin County Ct. of Com. Pleas, Sept. 14, 1936, CCH CDR No. 163845	304
Constitution Publishing Company v. Lyon, (Ga.) 183 S. E. 653	199
Corn et al. v. Fort and West Kentucky Coal Company v. Fort, (Tenn.) 95 S. W. (2d) 620	235

	Page
Cotnareanu et al. v. National City Bank of New York, et al., (N. Y.) 271	
N. Y. 115, 3 N. E. (2d) 451	255
Cranford v. Jordan, Secretary of State (Transamerica Service Corporation et al., Interveners), (Cal.) 61 P. (2d) 45	319
Cranston Dressed Meat Co., Inc. v. Packers Outlet Co., Inc. et al., (R. I.) 150 A. 29	397
Crowe & Co., Inc. v. T. L. Crowe & Co., Inc., (N. Y.) U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., August 12, 1936, CCH CDR No 163197	278
Curlee Clothing Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission, (Okla.) 68 P. (2d) 834	409
Davis v. Amra Grotto M.O.V.P.E.R., Inc. et al., (Warner Intervener) Tenn. 89 S. W. (2d) 754	179
Davis v. United Shoe Repairing Machine Co., (Tex.) 92 S. W. (2d) 1107	231
Dedrick et al. v. California Whaling Company et al., (Cal.) 60 P. (2d) 551	246
Delaware & Hudson Co. v. Mechanicville & Fort Edward Railroad Company et al., (N. Y.) 268 N. Y. 394, 197 N. E. 325	81
Delaware-New Jersey Ferry Co. v. Leeds et al., (Del.) 186 A. 913	271
Depner v. Joseph Zukin Blouses et al., (Cal.) 56 P. (2d) 574	222
Dime Savings & Trust Co. v. Humphreys, (Okla.) 53 P. (2d) 655	203
Division Avenue Realty Company v. McGough et al., (Mich.) 264 N. W. 328	250
Doelger (Peter) Brewing Corp. v. Spindel, (N. J.) 186 A. 429	255
Dorsey v. Anderson et al., (Iowa) 270 N. W. 463	347
Downing v. Young Men's Christian Ass'n. et al., (Okla.) 61 P. (2d) 859	296
Du Bois v. Century Cement Products Co. et al., (N. J.) 183 A. 188	200
Duer et al., In re, (N. Y.) 270 N. Y. 343, 1 N. E. (2d) 457	225
Duncan & Goodell Co., In re, (Mass.) 15 F. Supp. 550	250
Dunham v. Marine Midland Trust Company of New York, (Okla.) 53 P. (2d) 254	202
Durr et al. v. Paragon Trading Corporation, (N. Y.) 270 N. Y. 454, 1 N. E. (2d) 967	225
Earl v. Brewer et al., (N. Y.) 282 N. Y. S. 922; judgment modified, 289 N. Y. S. 159	127, 224
East Side Mill and Lumber Company et al. v. Dwyer Logging Company, (Ore.) 64 P. (2d) 89	376
Electric Bond & Share Co. v. State, (N. Y.) 293 N. Y. S. 175; affirmed by N. Y. Court of Appeals, June 11, 1937	400
Elsner v. United American Utilities, Inc., (Del.) 180 A. 589	86
Erwin Nat. Bank v. Riddle et al., (Tenn.) 79 S. W. (2d) 1032	41
Eshleman et al. v. Keenan et al., (Del.) 187 A. 25	223
Estate of James W. Arrott, Jr., (Pa.) 185 A. 697	235
Estate of Edwin King Scheffel, (N. Y.) 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809	58, 417
Feder et al. v. Texas Bitulithic Co. et al., (Tex.) 82 S. W. (2d) 724	62
Federal Schools, Inc. v. Sidden (N. J.) 188 A. 446	350
Fickett v. Higginbotham-Bailey-Logan Co., (N. Y.) 293 N. Y. S. 566	418
Filoli, Inc. v. Johnson, State Treasurer, (Cal.) 51 P. (2d) 1093	134
First Security Corporation of Ogden v. The State Tax Commission of Utah, (Utah) 63 P. (2d) 1062	377
Fisher's Blend Station, Inc. v. The Tax Commission of the State of Washington et al., (Wash.) 56 S. Ct. 608	236
Florida Realty Finance & Security Co. v. Chris. Schroeder & Sons Co., (Wis.) 272 N. W. 38	422
Founders General Corporation v. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue (Fed.) 57 S. Ct. 457	374

The references are to pages.

	Page
Fox v. Frank et al., (Ohio) 52 Ohio App. 483, 3 N. E. (2d) 996; appeal dismissed, 198 N. E. 873	64
Fox v. Radel Leather Mfg. Co., et al., (N. J.) 189 A. 366	393
Fox, Trustee, et al. v. River Heights, Inc., et al., (Tenn.) Tenn Sup. Ct., Jan. 11, 1936, CCH CDR No. 149878	154
Fraser v. Great Western Sugar Co. et al., (N. J.) 185 A. 64	224
Frink v. Carman Distributing Company, (Colo.) 48 P. (2d) 805	79
Froedtert Grain & Malting Co., Inc., (State ex rel.) v. Tax Commission (Wis.) 265 N. W. 672; rehearing denied, 276 N. W. 52	162, 208
Fulle v. White Metal Mfg. Co., et al., (N. J.) 180 A. 231	81
Garey v. Kelvinator Corporation, (Mich.) 271 N. W. 723	414
Garfield et al. v. The Great Northern Railway Company et al., (N. Y.) U. S. Dist. Ct., Eastern Dist. of N. Y., Dec. 20, 1935 CCH CDR No. 149709	154
Garrett Engineering Co. v. Auburn Foundry, Inc., (S. C.) 179 S. E. 693	40
General Motors Acceptance Corporation v. Huron Finance Corporation et al., (S. D.) 262 N. W. 195	59
Germann et al. v. Farmers Tobacco Ware House Co. of Danville, (Ky.) 84 S. W. (2d) 82	32
Gibbon v. Hill, (N. J.) 79 F. (2d) 288	103
Gillham's Estate, In re, (Ill.) 3 N. E. (2d) 524	249
Gilmer Oil Co. v. Ross, Judge, (Okla.) 62 P. (2d) 76	399
Gledhill et al. v. Fisher & Co. et al., (Mich.) 262 N. W. 371	55
Gooch v. Natural Gas Supply Co., (Okla.) 51 P. (2d) 932	104
Gordon v. Ralston, (Ore.) 62 P. (2d) 1328	368
Gorges v. Greater Adelpia Building & Loan Association, (Pa.) 185 A. 815	275
Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Grosjean et al., (La.) 16 F. Supp. 499; affirmed, 57 S. Ct. 772; petition for rehearing denied, 58 S. Ct. 3	256
Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Valentine et al., (Iowa) 12 F. Supp. 760; affirmed, 57 S. Ct. 56	110, 280
Gregg Dyeing Co. v. Query et al., (S. C.) 286 U. S. 472; 52 S. Ct. 631	68, 401
Groce-Parrish Co. v. Yakey et al., (Tex.) 81 S. W. (2d) 273	37
Grotheer et al. v. Meyer Rosenberg, Inc., et al., (Calif.) 53 P. (2d) 996	174
Guaranty Old Line Life Co. et al. v. McCallum, Judge, et al., (Tex.) 97 S. W. (2d) 966	369
Gdynia American Line Inc. v. Taylor et al., (N. Y.) 293 N. Y. S. 613	400
Haggard v. Lexington Utilities Co. et al., (Ky.) 84 S. W. (2d) 84	54
Hammett et al. v. Motor Express, Inc., (Ark.) 87 S. W. (2d) 19	136
Hartford Accident & Indemnity Company v. People of the State of Illinois, ex rel. Walter W. McLaughlin, Director of Agriculture, (Ill.) 56 S. Ct. 685	206
Helfman et al. v. American Light & Traction Co. et al., (N. J.) 187 A. 540	320
Heller Investment Company v. Southern Title & Trust Company et al., (Calif.) 61 P. (2d) 807	318
Helvering, Commissioner of Internal Revenue, v. East Coast Oil Company, S. A. (Fed.) 85 F. (2d) 322	326, 328
Henneford et al. v. Silas Mason Company, Inc. et al., (Wash.) 15 F. Supp. 958; reversed 57 S. Ct. 524	258, 401
Hill v. Page & Hill Co., et al., (Minn.) 268 N. W. 705	272
Hoffman v. Carter et al., (N. J.) 187 A. 576; affirmed 192 A. 825	298
Holleb Liquor Distributors, Inc. v. Lincoln Fireproof Warehouse Co. et al., (Wis.) 270 N. W. 545	326
Hoops et al. v. Leddy et al., (N. J.) 182 A. 271	151
Horowitz v. Beamish, (Pa.) 40 Dauphin County Reports 336; affirmed 185 A. 760	58, 231

	Page
Household Finance Corporation of Delaware v. Household Finance Corporation of West Virginia, (W. Va.) 11 F. Supp. 3	38
Hull Copper Co., In re (Ariz.): The State of Arizona, appellant, v. Tally, Trustee, et al., appellees, 50 P. (2d) 560	78
Hurry Up Broadway Company v. Shannon, (Ky.) 102 S. W. (2d) 30	399
In re Barnett Bernard, bankrupt-appellant; New York Credit Men's Assn., creditor-appellee, (N. Y.) F. (2d) 705	87, 368
In re Brophy et al., (N. J.) 179 A. 128	9
In re Bush Terminal Co., (N. Y.) 78 Fed. (2d) 662	55
In re Canal Construction Company, (Del.) 182 A. 545	126
In re Duer et al., (N. Y.) 270 N. Y. 343; 1 N. E. (2d) 457	225
In re Duncan & Goodell Co., (Mass.) 15 F. Supp. 550	250
In re Gillham's Estate, (Ill.) 3 N. E. (2d) 524	249
In re Hull Copper Company: The State of Arizona, appellant, v. Robert E. Tally, Trustee, et al., appellees, (Ariz.) 50 P. (2d) 560	78
In re International Match Corporation, (Del.) 79 F. (2d) 203	83
In re Montello Salt Company, (Chez, Attorney General, v. Evans), (Utah) 53 P. (2d) 727	176
In re Preble Corporation, (Fed.) 15 F. Supp. 775	280
In re Simms Petroleum Company, (N. Y.) 286 N. Y. S. 686	185
In re Union Cigar Co., (N. Y.) CCH CDR No. 167390	323
International Match Corporation, In re, (Del.) 79 F. (2d) 203	83
International Railway Co. v. Niagara Parks Commission, (1936) (Ont.) 2 D. L. R. 405	230
Interstate Department Stores, Inc. v. Interstate Department Stores, Inc. (N. Y.) U. S. Dist. Ct. So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 7, 1936, CCH CDR No. 149664	163
Janeway et al. v. Vandeventer, (Okla.) 45 P. (2d) 79	34
Jensen Candy Company v. The State Tax Commission of Utah, (Utah) 61 P. (2d) 629	282
Jewel Tea Company, Inc. v. City of Troy, Illinois, et al., (Ill.) 80 F. (2d) 366	183
Jewel Tea Co. v. Patillo et al., (Ga.) 178 S. E. 925	10
Jones et al. v. Gordy, Comptroller; Lechert v. Gordy, Comptroller, (Md.) 180 A. 272	63
Jones v. Motor Sales Company of Johnstown et al., (Pa.) 185 A. 809	274
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, respondent, v. Z. L. Girard et al., defendants, Bertha C. Bressler, appellant, (Ida.) 64 P. (2d) 254	346
Johnson v. Diefendorf, Commissioner of Finance, (Ida.) 57 P. (2d) 1068	233
Johnson Oil Refining Co. v. State ex rel. Templeton, (Okla.) 46 P. (2d) 546	111
Kaysser v. McNaughton et al. (Cal.) 46 P. (2d) 217; reversed 57 P. (2d) 927	30, 222
Keetch et al. v. Cordner et al., (Utah) 62 P. (2d) 273	345
Keller et al. v. Wilson & Co., Inc., (Del.) 180 A. 584; reversed, 190 A. 115; rehearing denied, Feb. 19, 1937	6, 270, 337
Kelly v. Brackenridge Brewing Co., Inc., et al. (Pa.) 178 A. 487	16
Kimbrough v. Gainesville Mather Co. et al., (Ga.) 187 S. 169	248
King v. Kussner, (Canada) (1936) 4 D. L. R. 752	342
Kittinger v. Churchill et al., (N. Y.) 292 N. Y. S. 35; 292 N. Y. S. 51	394
Koral v. Savory, Inc. et al., (N. Y.) 291 N. Y. S. 123; reversed, N. Y. Ct. of Appeals, November 23, 1937, CCH CDR No. 186187	343
Lane Drug Stores Inc et al. v. Lee, Comptroller of Florida et al.; Winn & Lovett Grocery Co. v. Lee, Comptroller et al., (Fla.) 11 Fed. Supp. 672	87
Lauer v. Bayside National Bank et al., (N. Y.) 280 N. Y. S. 139	9

The references are to pages.

	Page
Lauricella et al. v. Evening News Publishing Company, (N. Y.) 15 F. Supp. 671	298
Lerner Shops of Alabama v. Riddle, (Ala.) 164 So. 385	129
Lloyd-Owen v. Bull, (B. C.) (1936) 4 D. L. R. 273	318
Louisiana Iron & Supply Company v. Jolly et al., (Okla.) 51 P. (2d) 280	111
Lowther v. Blair Distilling Co., (Ky.) 99 S. W. (2d) 204	367
Lucas et al. v. The City of Charlotte, (N. C.) 86 F. (2d) 394	350
Frank MacMonnies Corporation v. Sunical Packing Co., (N. Y.) 75 F. (2d) 467	16
McAlister v. Eclipse Oil Co., (Tex.) 98 S. W. (2d) 171	344
McGahan v. United Engineering Corporation, Inc., (N. J.) 180 Atl. 195	33
McLean v. Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co., Inc., (Del.) 85 F. (2d) 150	247, 283
McWilliams et al. v. Geddes & Moss Undertaking & Embalming Co., Limited, et al., (La.) 169 So. 894	342
Manuet Logging Company v. Hanson, (N. J.) Sup. Ct. of N. J., July 28, 1936, CCH CDR No. 163301	254
Marx & Bendorf, Inc. v. First Joint Stock Land Bank of New Orleans, Louisiana, (Miss.) 173 So. 297	416
Matlack Properties, Inc. v. Citizens & Southern Nat. Bank, (Fla.) 162 So. 148	30
Matson Navigation Co. et al. v. State Board of Equalization et al., (Cal.) 43 P. (2d) 805; affirmed 56 S. Ct. 553; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 666	62, 155
Mecklenburg County et al. v. Sterchi Bros. Stores, Inc., (N. C.) 185 S. E. 454	234
Meek v. New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad Company, (Mo.) 88 S. W. (2d) 333	131
Meisel Tire Co. v. Mar-Bel Trading Co., (N. Y.) 280 N. Y. S. 335	40
Meldman Cartage Co. v. Freuhauf Trailer Co., (Mich.) 259 N. W. 905	7
Memphis Dock & Forwarding Company v. Fort, Commissioner of Finance and Taxation, (Tenn.) 92 S. W. (2d) 408	207
Mergenthaler Linotype Co. v. Gore, (Fla.) 160 So. 481	39
Merriman & Wasson Co., Inc. v. Eagle Pencil Co., (Ind.) 199 N. E. 243	151
Mertz et al. v. H. D. Hudson Mfg. Co., (Minn.) 261 N. W. 472	8
Metropolitan Casualty Insurance Company of New York v. Brooker, (N. J.) 185 A. 926	273
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. v. Stoll, Register of Deeds, (Mich.) 268 N. W. 763	281
Milwaukee Land Company v. State, (Wash.) 61 P. (2d) 996	327
Mills Development Corporation v. Shipp & Head, Inc., 171 So. 533	390
Miner v. United Air Lines Transport Corporation, (Cal.) 16 F. Supp. 930	370
Montgomery Ward Co. v. Fry, (Mich.) 269 N. W. 166	280
Montello Salt Co., In re, (Utah) 53 P. (2d) 727	176
Moran v. Union Savings Bank & Trust Company, (Ark.) 97 S. W. (2d) 638	297, 322
John J. Morris, Jr., Trustee in Bankruptcy for Central Telephone Company, v. Marshall E. Sampsel et al., (Del.) 272 N. W. 53	414
Morrow v. Henneford et al., (Wash.) 47 P. (2d) 1016	65
Muldowney et al. v. McCoy Hotel Co., (Wis.) 269 N. W. 655	351
Muller et al. v. Theo. Hamm Brewing Company et al., (Minn.) 268 N. W. 204	224
Mulligan v. Lancaster et al., (Alberta) (1937) 1 D. L. R. 414	366
Murray v. Monter et al., (Utah) 60 P. (2d) 960	275
National Bond & Share Corp. v. Hoey, Collector of Internal Revenue, (Fed.) 14 F. Supp. 787	209

The references are to pages.

	Page
National Brands Stores, Inc. v. Muse & Associates et al., (Ga.) 187 S. E. 84	248
Norm Advertising, Inc. v. Parker, (La.) 172 So. 586	416
North et al. v. Higbee Co. et al., (Ohio) 3 N. E. (2d) 391; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 432	274, 353
North American Mortgage Co. v. Hudson et al., (Miss.) 168 So. 79	230
North Carolina Mortgage Corporation v. Morgan, (N. C.) 182 S. E. 450	137
Northwestern Ice & Cold Storage Co. v. Galloway et al., (Ore.) 49 P. (2d) 359	90
Norton v. Lamb, (Kan.) 62 P. (2d) 1311	392
Oklahoma Title Co. et al. v. Burrus, (Okla.) 44 P. (2d) 852	34
Orloff v. Moorehead Manufacturing Company, (Mich.) 262 N. W. 736	103
Pace v. Pace Bros. Company et al., (Utah) 59 P. (2d) 1; rehearing denied, 63 P. (2d) 590	226
Parker v. Central of Georgia Railway Company, (Ala.) 170 So. 333	346
Parrish v. Commonwealth Trust Company and Petroleum Properties, Inc., (Del.) 181 A. 658	80
Peninsula Light Co. v. Tax Commission of Washington et al., (Wash.) 56 P. (2d) 720	259
People ex rel. Adams Electric Light Co. v. Graves et al., (N. Y.) 272 N. Y. 77, 4 N. E. (2d) 941	352
People ex rel. Edinburg State Bank & Trust Company v. District Court of Routt County et al., (Colo.) 50 P. (2d) 789	106
People ex rel. Kerner v. Blue Rose Oil Co., (Ill.) 196 N. E. 456; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 121	31, 32
People ex rel. Rogers v. Graves et al., (N. Y.) 283 N. Y. S. 538	135
Peoples-Pittsburgh Trust Company v. Saupp, (Pa.) 182 A. 376	175
Personal Industrial Bankers, Inc. v. Citizens Budget Company of Dayton, Ohio, Incorporated, et al., (Ohio) 80 F. (2d) 327	128
Peter Doelger Brewing Corporation v. Spindel et al., (N. J.) 186 A. 429	255
Petroleum Navigation Co. v. Henneford et al., (Wash.) 55 P. (2d) 1056	186
Petrovics v. The King Holdings, Inc., (R. I.) 188 A. 514	369
Philipbar et al. v. Derby et al., (N. Y.) 85 F. (2d) 27	278
Phillips Petroleum Co. et al. v. Jenkins, (Ark.) 56 S. Ct. 611; rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 745	201
Philmore Dress Corporation v. Philmore Dress Co., Inc., (N. Y.) U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., July 22, 1936, CCH CDR No. 162663	278
Potter v. Walker et al., (N. Y.) 293 N. Y. S. 161	394
Preble Corporation, In re (Federal) 15 F. Supp. 775	280
Prince v. Hotel Bermudiana Co., Ltd., et al., (N. Y.) 14 F. Supp. 798	178
Producers' & Refiners' Corporation of Texas v. Heath, Sec'y. of State, et al., (Tex.) 81 S. W. (2d) 533	90
Rands v. Hiram Walker, G. & W. Ltd., (Ontario) (1936) 4 D. L. R. 186	297
Ranier Nat. Park Co. v. Henneford, (Wash.) 45 P. (2d) 617	20
Rarden et al. v. R. D. Baker Company, (Ind.-Mich.) 271 N. W. 712; certiorari denied by U. S. Sup. Ct., 58 S. Ct. 15	398
Rawlings v. American Oil Co., (Miss.) 161 So. 851	14
Realty Bond & Mortgage Co. v. United States, (Fed.) 16 F. Supp. 771	327
Refrigeration Discount Corp. v. Metzger, (Pa.) 10 F. Supp. 748	19
Reliance Fertilizer Co. v. Davis, (Fla.) 169 So. 579	254
Republic Finance & Investment Co. et al. v. Fenstermaker et al., (Ind.) 6 N. E. (2d) 541	391
Rhodes v. Martin, (N. Y.), Sup. Ct., Special Term, N. Y. County, Dec. 8, 1936	350

	Page
Rickert Rice Mills, Inc. v. Fontenot, (Fed.) 56 S. Ct. 374; petition for rehearing denied, 56 S. Ct. 438, 439; order to release funds entered, 56 S. Ct. 836	137
Robertson et al. v. Hartman et al. (Cal.) 57 P. (2d) 1310	246
Roth Drugs, Inc. et al. v. Johnson, State Treasurer, et al., (Cal.) 57 P. (2d) 1022; appeal denied by California Supreme Court, July 18, 1936	232
Sagalyn et al. v. Meekins, Packard and Wheat, Inc., et al., (Mass.) 195 N. E. 769	32
Saperstein v. Wilson & Co., Inc., (Del.) 182 A. 18	7, 102
Saunders v. Iowa State Traveling Men's Association, (Iowa) 270 N. W. 407	370
Sayers & Muir Service Station v. Indian Refining Company, (Ky.) 100 S. W. (2d) 687	322
Scheffel, Estate of E. K., (N. Y.) 281 N. Y. S. 957; affirmed 294 N. Y. S. 387; reversed 275 N. Y. 135, 9 N. E. (2d) 809	58, 417
Schmitt, Jr., Trustee, et al. v. Eagle Roller Mill Company et al., (Minn.) Minnesota Supreme Court, March 12, 1937, 272 N. W. 277	415
Schroeter, as Trustee in Bankruptcy of the United States Guaranty Corporation v. Bartlett Syndicate Building Corporation, Ltd., (Cal.) 63 P. (2d) 824	366
Schuster & Co., Inc. v. Henry, (Wis.) 261 N. W. 20; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 148	66
Schwab et al. v. Schwab-Wilson Machinery Corporation, Limited, et al., (Cal.) 55 P. (2d) 1268	198
Schwartz v. Inspiration Gold Mining Company, (Mont.) 15 F. Supp. 1030	343
Security Savings & Trust Co. v. Coos Bay Lumber and Coal Co. et al., (Wis.) 263 N. W. 187	128
Seely v. Fleming Coal Co., (Del.) 180 A. 326	102
Sellent-Repent Corporation v. Queens Borough Gas & Electric Co. et al., (N. Y.) 290 N. Y. S. 887	321
Sheppard et al. v. Musser, (Tex.) 89 S. W. (2d) 222; judgment modified on appeal, 92 S. W. (2d) 219; appeal dismissed, 57 S. Ct. 171	186, 208, 283
Short v. Lewis-Stenger Barbers' Supply Co., (Wash.) U. S. Dist. Ct., Western Dist. of Washington, Feb. 17, 1936, CCH CDR No. 152412	182
Silas Mason Co., Inc. et al. v. Henneford et al., (Wash.) 15 F. Supp. 958; reversed 57 S. Ct. 524	258, 401
Silva v. Crombie & Co., (N. M.) 44 P. (2d) 719	15
Simms Petroleum Co., In re, (N. Y.) 286 N. Y. S. 686	185
Simpson, Inc. v. O'Hara et al., (Mich.) 268 N. W. 809	281
Smith v. Trumbull Farmers Gin Company et al., (Tex.) 89 S. W. (2d) 829	176
Smith Co. et al. v. Fitzgerald et al., (Mich.) 259 N. W. 352; appeal dismissed (Smith Co. v. Atwood) 56 S. Ct. 115	43
Smith, Collector of Revenue of Greene County et al. v. The Ajax Pipe Line Company, (Mo.) 87 F. (2d) 567; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 670	375, 402
South East Public Service Corporation of Virginia v. Commonwealth ex rel. State Corporation Commission, (Va.) 181 S. E. 448	105
Southern Glass Company v. Dairy Service Co., Ltd., et al., (Cal.) 54 P. (2d) 50	174
Southern Natural Gas Corporation et al. v. State of Alabama, (Ala.) 170 So. 178; affirmed 57 S. Ct. 696	422
Southwestern Light & Power Co. v. Oklahoma Tax Commission et al., (Okla.) 62 P. (2d) 637	375
Squire, Superintendent of Banks, et al. v. Rafferty, (Ohio) 2 N. E. (2d) 255	226
Stagg et al. v. George E. Nissen Co. Inc., (N. C.) 180 S. E. 658	88
Staley-Wynne Oil Corporation v. Loring Oil Co., (La.) 162 So. 756	57

The references are to pages.

	Page
Standard Oil Company of California v. Johnson, State Treasurer, (Cal.) Superior Ct., Sacramento County, Dec. 11, 1936, CCH CDR No. 168599...	352
Standifer Construction Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, (Ore.) 78 F. (2d) 285	35
Starring, Jr. v. American Hair and Felt Company, (Del.) 191 A. 887	390
Starring et al. v. Kemp et al., (Va.) 188 S. E. 174	345
State v. Atlantic Oil Producing Co., (Okla.) 49 P. (2d) 534	131
State v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation, (Del.) 184 A. 873	222
State v. Phillips Pipe Line Co., (Mo.) 97 S. W. 109; appeal filed in U. S. Sup. Ct., Docket No. 712; case continued to October 1937 Term, 57 S. Ct. 668 affirmed, 58 S. Ct. 53	303, 425
State v. Union Building Corporation, (La.) 170 So. 7; appeal dismissed 57 S. Ct. 233	302, 306
State v. Weil, (Ala.) 168 So. 679	232
State v. Xeter Realty, Limited, (La.) 162 So. 29	17
State ex rel Adams et al. v. Lee, (Fla.) 166 So. 249; certiorari denied 57 S. Ct. 15, (See also 166 So. 262, 179 So. 887)	88, 261
State ex rel. Cities Service Gas Co. v. Public Service Commission et al., (Mo.) 85 S. W. (2d) 890; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 382	82
State ex rel. Lane Drug Stores, Inc. v. Simpson, (Fla.) 166 So. 227; certiorari denied, 57 S. Ct. 15, (see also 166 So. 262, 179 So. 887)	88, 261
State ex rel. Taylor Laundry Co. v. District Court et al., (Mont.) 57 P. (2d) 772	230
State ex rel. Utilities Power & Light Corporation v. Ryan et al., Judges, (Mo.) 88 S. W. (2d) 157	178
State of Delaware v. J. Seton Gray, Receiver, (Wis.) 267 N. W. 310	260
State of Indiana v. Siosi Oil Corporation, (Ind.) 199 N. E. 232	159
State of Louisiana v. Banana Selling Company, Inc., (La.) 170 So. 30	233
State of Louisiana v. Bisso Realty & Investment Co., Inc. (La.) 167 So. 87	160
State of Missouri ex rel. United Brick & Tile Company et al. v. Hon. Emory H. Wright, Judge, (Mo.) 95 S. W. (2d) 804	174
State Revenue Commission et al. v. Edgar Brothers Co., (Ga.) 190 S. E. 623	423
Storen, etc., et al. v. J. D. Adams Manufacturing Co., (Ind.) Indiana Supreme Court, April 30, 1937, 7 N. E. (2) 941	424
Stowell v. Garden City News Corporation, (Kan.) 57 P. (2d) 12	249
Stratton et al., Trustees in Bankruptcy of Clarence Saunders Stores, Inc. v. Anderson, (Del.) 270 N. W. 764	343
San Insurance Office Ltd. of London v. United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, (N. Y.) Sup. Ct., N. Y. County, CCH CDR No. 163456	259
Sutton v. Globe Knitting Works, (Mich.) 267 N. W. 815	223
Talbott v. Louisville Trust Co., (Ky.) 82 S. W. (2d) 219	17
Talbott et al. v. United Supply Company, Inc., (Ky.) 91 S. W. (2d) 1002	159
Taylor v. The Tulsa Tribune Company, (N. Y.) U. S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of N. Y., Jan. 11, 1937, CCH CDR No. 169963	372
The Texas Company v. City of Elizabeth City, (N. C.) 187 S. E. 551	282
Tichenor v. Dr. G. H. Tichenor Company, Limited, (La.) 164 So. 275	127
Tichenor, Jr., Dr. G. H. v. Rolla A. Tichenor, Sr., (La.) 167 So. 427	199
Tignor v. L. G. Balfour & Co., (Va.) 187 S. E. 468	279
Times Building Company for the use of Gray-Knox Marble Company v. Cline et al., (Ala.) 173 So. 42	398
Title Insurance & Trust Company v. Torrance et al., (Cal.) 51 P. (2d) 1123	126
Town of Sellersburg v. Stanforth, (Ind.) 198 N. E. 437	107
Townsend v. Rosenbaum et al., (Wash.) 60 P. (2d) 251	323

The references are to pages.

	Page
Transbay Construction Company v. The Superior Court, etc., I. L. Harris, Judge, (Cal.) 55 P. (2d) 1237	198
Trojan Engineering Corporation v. Green Mountain Power Corporation, (Mass.) 200 N. E. 117	177
Turco Paint & Varnish Co. v. Kalodner, (Pa.) 184 A. 37	136
Union Cigar Co., (N. Y.), In re, CCH CDR No. 167390	323
Union Mutual Life Co. of Iowa v. District Court of City and County of Denver et al., (Colo.) 47 P. (2d) 401	56
Union Trust Company of Maryland et al. v. Elsie Rodeman et al., (Wis.) 264 N. W. 508	203
United States v. A. B. Leach & Co., Inc., (Fed.) 57 S. Ct. 457	374
United States v. Automatic Washer Co., (Fed.) 57 S. Ct. 457; rehearing denied, March 8, 1937	374
United States of America v. William M. Butler et al., Receivers of Hoosac Mills Corporation, (Fed.) 56 S. Ct. 312, 438	112
Vancouver Oil Company v. Henneford et al., (Wash.) Supreme Court of Washington, August 28, 1935, 49 P. (2d) 14	44
Van Denburgh v. Tungsten Reef Mines Co. et al., (Ariz.) 63 P. (2d) 647	369
Van Schaick, Superintendent of Insurance, etc., respondent, v. National City Bank of New York, appellant, (N. Y.) 283 N. Y. S. 372	113
Vaughan and Co. et al. v. State, (N. Y.) 272 N. Y. 102, 5 N. E. (2d) 53; appeal dismissed, 57 S. Ct. 510	304, 378
Vulcan Wheels, Inc. v. Martin, (N. J.) 179 A. 620	43
Wadhams Oil Co. v. Henry, (Wis.) 261 N. W. 20, certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 148	66
Walker v. Ritter-Burns Lumber Co., (Ky.) 10 F. Supp. 804	11
Wallace v. Tulsa Yellow Cab Taxi & Baggage Co. et al., (Okla.) 61 P. (2d) 645	344
W. G. W. Distributing Company v. Dorothy Lowy et al., (N. J.) 183 A. 169	175
Wheeling Steel Corp. v. Fox, State Tax Commissioner, et al., (W. Va.) 56 S. Ct. 773, 298 U. S. 193; rehearing denied, 57 S. Ct. 4	210, 221
Wheelock Lovejoy & Co., Inc. v. Gill, (Ill.) Sup. Ct. of Illinois, Feb. 12, 1937, CCH CDR No. 171891	374
White v. Coeur d'Alene Big Creek Mining Co., (Ida.) 55 P. (2d) 720	199
Whitehead v. Bridger, Hevenor & Co. et al., (Ont.) (1936) 3 D. L. R. 408	251
Wiggins & Sons, Inc. v. Ford Motor Company, (S. C.) 186 S. E. 272	231
Wilhelm et al. v. Consolidated Oil Corporation et al., (Okla.) 84 F. (2d) 739	302
Wills v. National Mineral Company, (Okla.) 55 P. (2d) 449	179
Wilmington Dry Goods Co. v. National Automatic Machine Co., (Del.) 190 A. 735	415
Wisconsin Coosa Company v. State of Alabama, (Ala.) 165 So. 838	153
Wisconsin Tax Commission v. Newport Co., (Wis.) 261 N. W. 884; certiorari denied, 56 S. Ct. 598	187
Wise v. H. M. Byllesby & Co. et al., (Ill.) 1 N. E. (2d) 536	227
Wolitz v. India Tire Co., (N. Y.) 10 F. Supp. 53	40
Wolman et al. v. State Founders, Inc., (Md.) U. S. Dist. Ct., Dist. of Md., Jan. 17, 1936, CCH CDR No. 150219	153
Wyman, Partridge Holding Co. v. Lowe et al., (S. D.) 272 N. W. 181	418